QUALITY PROFILE


UNIVERSITY OF LIMERICK

Type of Institution: **UNIVERSITY**



QQI CINNTEQ/

Institutional Review 2020



KEY QUALITY REVIEW COMMENDATIONS

- The review team commends UL on the resilience and dynamism shown in its response to Covid-19. This was clear from its ability, evident across the
- The review team commends the university on the way it has commended different quality teams and how it fosters good practice exchange on
- Managers were evidently united in their focus on the student learning experience at UL and on the importance to accommodate students.
- The annual monitoring process has clear scope and leadership, and the Associate Vice President Academic Affairs is unambiguously responsi
- The review team commends UL for providing learner supports that are collaborative, comprehensive and ambitious.

KEY QUALITY REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS

- The review team found that, overall, the QA and quality enhance system functions in a top-down manner. The review team also
- 2 Given that UL was unable to clarify during the visit how the Execution Committee would monitor progress and how the impact of the Plance
- Both programme design and annual review form the backbone of what one could describe as the 'top-down loop of quality assurance'. The re-
- Given the central position that student surveys have in the overall QA: the review team recommends that UL continue work with students to
- The review team acknowledges the recent developments towards build in management and quality dashboards, yet recommends that the university

Full Report

Review Handbook

Terms of Reference

Review Team

Annual Quality Reports

Follow-Up Report