



Dearbhú Cáilíochta
agus Cáilíochtaí Éireann
Quality and
Qualifications Ireland


Quality Profile

CCT College Dublin

Institutional Review 2026

Type of Institution:
Independent/Private HEI

CINNTE



THE REVIEW TEAM COMMENDS:

1. the institution for articulating a very clear and well-communicated strategy, capping full-time student numbers to maintain its quality and culture with a plan to increase numbers in time in on-line delivery.
2. the institution's strong culture of student support, reflected in its open door approach to student feedback, the provision of a positive and inclusive learning environment, and an effective mentoring system that is valued by students.
3. the early identification and intervention of students at risk and the responsive nature of support structures in place, which contribute positively to student progression and retention.
4. the strong culture of professional development and collegiality across the institution, reflected in support for staff development and the sharing of experience and best practice demonstrating a high level of collegiality and organisational learning.
5. CCT's collaborative approach to self-evaluation, including the engagement of multiple stakeholders in the ISER development process, and the effective thematic analysis summarising key strengths and areas for enhancement.

Full Report

Review Handbook

Terms of Reference

THE REVIEW TEAM RECOMMENDS:

1. that organisational governance arrangements be reviewed and strengthened to ensure that reporting lines, committee structures and decision making responsibilities are clearly defined, formally documented, and effectively communicated to relevant internal and external stakeholders, in order to support transparent, effective, and informed decision-making.
2. that governance structures be strengthened by continued student representation on committees, where appropriate, to ensure the student voice is consistently captured.
3. revising the Industry Engagement Forum guide to document more clearly the forum's current practice, membership, member responsibilities, and processes for monitoring and review.
4. that objectives should be supported by clearly defined and measurable targets to enable effective monitoring, evaluation and benchmarking of progress.
5. that the systems supporting the identification of examination result anomalies be reviewed to ensure their efficiency, transparency, and fairness.

Review Team

Annual Quality Report
2025

Annual Quality Report
2026

Follow-up Report