Annual Quality Report (Dublin Business School) Reporting Period 2021-2022 Dublin Business School 2023

Annual Quality Report (Dublin Business School) PART A: INTERNAL QA SYSTEM Reporting Period 2021-2022

PREFACE

The Annual Quality Report (AQR; formerly AIQR) forms part of Quality and Qualifications Ireland's (QQI) quality assurance (QA) framework of engagement with Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). The AQR provides documentary evidence of the development and evolution of each institution's internal quality system. It provides QQI with assurance that internal QA procedures have been established and are being implemented consistent with regulatory requirements.

The AQR, particularly part A, should assist with **document management** in the institutional review process and will facilitate institutions in providing review teams with procedural QA documentation in preparation for the external review process. It is an important part of the evidence base considered by external **review teams** as part of QQI's CINNTE cycle of institutional reviews, demonstrating that the institution's internal QA system is aligned with QQI's Core and relevant Sector- and Topic-specific Statutory QA Guidelines, and with the European Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area 2015 (ESG). It enables the review team to satisfy itself of compliance with these requirements for the purpose of the institutional review process.

Each AQR is **published in full on QQI's website**, providing transparency on the HEIs' assurance and enhancement of quality to external stakeholders. (As such, institutions should ensure that their submissions do not contain any data that they consider to be commercially sensitive.) Collectively, the AQRs comprise a single national repository of quality assurance practice in Irish higher education institutions.

Each year, QQI produces a synthesis report of the key themes highlighted across the AQRs, primarily arising from Part B of the reports.

CONTENTS

PREFACE	
Links to Reference Documents Cited in this Template	6
PART A: INTERNAL QA SYSTEM	7
Table 1 Mapping of ESG (2015) to QQI QA Guidelines (QAG)	7
Introduction and Overview of Institution	8
1.0 Internal QA Framework	10
1.1 Governance and Management of Quality	10
1.2 Linked Providers, Collaborative and Transnational Provision	16
2.0 Programme Development and Delivery	17
2.1 Programme Development and Approval	17
2.2 Admission, Progression, Recognition & Certification	22
2.3 Procedures for Making Awards	24
2.4 Teaching, Learning and Assessment	25
3.0 Learner Resources and Support	27
4.0 QA of Research Activities and Programmes	31
5.0 Staff Recruitment, Development and Support	
6.0 Information and Data Management	41
7.0 Public Information and Communication	45
8.0 Monitoring and Periodic Review	48
9.0 Details of Arrangements with Third Parties	53
9.1 Arrangements with PRSBs, Awarding Bodies, QA Bodies	53
9.2 Collaborative Provision	57
9.3 Articulation Agreements	60
PART B: INTERNAL QA SYSTEM	76
1.0 Quality Implementation and Developments	77
1.1 Strategic QA Updates	77
1.2 Update on Planned QA Objectives identified in Previous AQR	84
1.3 Governance and Management	91
1.3.1 QA Governance Meetings Schedule	91
1.3.2 QA Leadership and Management Structural Developments	92
1.4 Internal Monitoring and Review	94

1.4.1 Overview of Periodic Reviews	94
1.4.2 Expert Review Teams/Panels involved in IQA	95
2.0 IQA System - Enhancement and Impacts	97
2.1 Initiatives within the Institution related to Academic Integrity	103
3.0 QA Improvement and Enhancement Plans for Upcoming Reporting Period	104
3.1QA and QE supporting the Achievement of Strategic Objectives	104
3.2 Reviews planned for Upcoming Reporting Periods	106
3.2.1 Reviews planned for Next Reporting Period	106
3.2.2 Reviews planned beyond Next Reporting Period	108
4.0 Additional Themes and Case Studies	109

Links to Reference Documents Cited in this Template¹

Legislation

- Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act 2012 (as amended)
- Regional Technical Colleges Act 1992 (as amended)
- Technological Universities Act 2018
- Universities Act 1997

QQI Documents

Statutory QA Guidelines (QAG)

- <u>Core QAG</u>
- <u>Sector-specific QAG for Independent/Private Providers</u>
- Sector-specific QAG for Designated Awarding Bodies
- <u>Sector-specific QAG for Institutes of Technology</u>
- Topic-specific QAG for Providers of Statutory Apprenticeship Programmes
- Topic-specific QAG for Providers of Research Degree Programmes
- <u>Topic-specific QAG for Blended Learning</u>

Other QQI Policy Documents

- QQI's Policy for Collaborative Programmes, Transnational Programmes, and Joint Awards, 2012
- QQI's Code of Practice for Provision of Programmes of Education and Training to International Learners, 2015
- QQI Policy Restatement on Access, Transfer and Progression, 2015

Other National/International References

- European Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area (2015)
- IHEQN Guidelines on Collaborative Provision
- National Policy Statement on Ensuring Research Integrity in Ireland
- Ireland's Framework of Good Practice for Research Degree Programmes, 2019
- HEA National Framework for Doctoral Education
- <u>The Salzburg Principles</u>
- <u>The Salzburg II Recommendations</u>
- SOLAS Code of Practice for Employers and Apprentices
- UN Sustainable Development Goals

¹ These links will be updated as further guidance documents are published.

PART A: INTERNAL QA SYSTEM

Table 1

AQR Part A Section	QQI QAG Core Sub-section No.	QAG Core Sub-section Title	ESG Standard No.	ESG Standard
1.0 - Internal QA Framework	2.1	Governance and Management of Quality 1.1	1.1	Policy for Quality
	2.2	Documented Approach to Quality Assurance		
2.0 - Programme				
Development and Delivery	2.3	Programmes of Education and Training	1.2	Design and App
4.0 - QA of Research			1.2	
Activities and Programmes				
8.0 - Monitoring and Periodic			1.9	On-going Monito
Review			1.9	
5.0 - Staff Recruitment,	2.4	Staff Deerwitment Management and Development	1.5	Teaching Staff
Development and Support	2.4	Staff Recruitment, Management and Development	1.5	reaching Stan
	2.5	Teaching and Learning		
2.3 - <i>Teaching, Learning and</i>			1.3	Student-centred
Assessment	2.6	Assessment of Learners		
3.0 - Learner Resources and				
Supports	2.7	Supports for learners	1.6	Learning Resou
6.0 - Information and Data	2.8		47	
Management		Information and Data Management	1.7	Information Man
7.0 - Public Information and	2.9			
Communication		Public Information and Communication	1.8	Public Information
2.0 - Programme Delivery				
and Development				
8.0 - Monitoring and Periodic	2.10		1.9	On-going Monito
Review		Other Parties Involved in Education and Training		
9.0 - Details of Arrangements				
with Third Parties			1.2	Design and App
2.0 - Programme				
Development and Delivery	2.11		1.9	On-going Monito
8.0 - Monitoring and Periodic		Self-evaluation, Monitoring and Review		
Review			1.10	Cyclical Externa
4.0 - QA of Research				
		f Research Degree Programmes		

lity Assurance

oproval of Programmes

itoring and Periodic Review of Programmes

ed Teaching, Learning and Assessment

ources and Student Support

anagement

tion

itoring and Periodic Review of Programmes

oproval of Programmes

itoring and Periodic Review of Programmes

nal Quality Assurance

Introduction and Overview of Institution

This is the AQR for Dublin Business School for the reporting period **1 September 2021** - **31 August 2022.**

It is to be submitted by Friday, 24 February 2023.

The AQR has been approved by DBS Academic Board, Senior Leadership Team, and Board of Directors and is submitted by **Grant Goodwin (Assistant Registrar)**.

Introduction to the College

DBS was established in 1975 and over the following 40-plus years has increased its range of programmes and specialisms. Currently, programmes span a broad range of disciplines including accounting, business, film and creative media, finance, humanities and social sciences, law, marketing, and the computer sciences. Typically, DBS has over 8,000 active students every year, over half of which are part-time evening students and the remainder are full-time, day students. In the academic year 2021/22 the number of registered students at DBS was 8,297.

Approximately three quarters of the student body is enrolled on QQI validated programmes, specifically full-time and part-time undergraduate and postgraduate programmes at NFQ Level 6 to Level 9, including Higher Certificate, Higher Diploma, BA, BA Hons, BSc Hons, Postgraduate Diploma, MA, MBA and MSc programmes. The remaining quarter of the students take programmes that are not on the NFQ, some of which are accredited by professional bodies such as the Project Management Institute or ACCA. DBS also offers a small number of programmes that are on the UK Ofqual framework. These are awarded by Kaplan Professional Awards (<u>https://kaplanpa.co.uk/</u>).

During 2021/22 DBS decided to cease delivering English language programmes itself. Instead, where it has students who need English language teaching, they are referred to the Kaplan English Language School in Dublin. DBS continued to hold ACELS accreditation during the year.

The DBS campus is located in Dublin city centre, with premises on Aungier Street, South Great Georges Street and Bow Lane. Facilities include lecture theatres, PC labs and tutorial rooms, a library including study rooms, study areas and PC terminals, canteen facilities and student services, including student welfare and counselling, and careers services.

In the 2021/22 academic year attendance on campus returned to normal with COVID-related restrictions lifted. Learning experiences for students changed, however, during the time when COVID was prevalent and restrictions were in place. Many students requested to attend online even when classes were available on campus. As such, and in line with the available guidelines from QQI on the provision

of teaching during times of COVID restrictions, teaching delivery remained on a hybrid schedule from September 2021, where classes are delivered in the classroom while broadcast online at the same time. This facilitates students to have some classroom and on-campus experience. This was important for first years, international and vulnerable students in particular.

DBS is a wholly owned subsidiary of Kaplan Inc. (<u>https://kaplan.com/</u>), the education division of the Graham Holdings Company (<u>http://www.ghco.com/company-profile</u>). Kaplan is a global education company, serving more than one million learners per year in over 400 locations in 30 countries. Kaplan's programmes include higher and professional education, language instruction, test preparation and services to primary/secondary learners and schools. In the area of professional education alone, in 2021 Kaplan provided courses to approximately 700,000 individuals and professionals worldwide. It has turnover of approximately \$1.4 billion and represents just under half the overall Graham Holdings company business.

DBS currently (February 2023) employees 403 staff. Of these, 274 are directly involved in teaching delivery while 129 are non-faculty staff.

DBS successfully completed the Re-Engagement process with QQI in 2019, including gaining approval for provision of blended learning.

DBS's Strategic Plan was reviewed and a Strategic Plan for 2021-2025 was launched, and can be found <u>here</u>.

Process for Development and Approval of the AQR

DBS welcomes the opportunity to engage with the AQR process for the second time. This has been a chance for the organisation to monitor developments through the pandemic and formalise monitoring and self-reflection activities around professional services as well as policy development.

In terms of completion of the report, initial preparation was carried out by the Registrar and Assistant Registrar. Briefings on compilation of the 2023 Report were provided by the Registrar at meetings of the Senior Leadership Team (SLT) and Academic Board prior to Christmas 2022.

The Assistant Registrar reviewed the 2022 AQR submission for any components of Section A that required updating for the 2023 submission, and transferred the corresponding components of Section B to the appropriate spaces for self-reflection and commentary or updates. The key stakeholders due to report back on progress since the 2022 submission were invited to provide their respective updates.

A draft of the report was presented to the SLT on 14 February and Academic Board on 16 February 2023 for consultation and approval. The document was left open for review and comment before finalisation ahead of the QQI deadline on 24 February.

1.0 Internal QA Framework

1.1 Governance and Management of Quality

Please refer to:

QAH Part A Section 1 Governance:

1.2 DBS Governance and Organisation


1.3 Terms of Reference for Governance Boards and Committees

1.4 DBS Leadership Structure and Roles

As per the QQI *Core Statutory Quality Assurance Guidelines* (2016, p.5) 1 DBS is aware of the need for a structure that ensures separation of decision-making powers and approval of decisions made. In designing its structure, DBS has also considered the governance vehicles of other higher education institutions in Ireland and the UK, including other private educational institutions.

See QAH Part A 1.2.2 Senior Governance

The overall governance structure of DBS comprises three related but distinct Boards: the Board of Directors; the Academic Board; and the Executive Board, also known as the Senior Leadership Team (SLT). The following graphic shows the composition and relative position of each Board.



The Board of Directors is the most senior authority of Dublin Business School, with responsibility for overseeing all activities and for directing the educational mission of the business. Its remit is to ensure the College functions effectively and legally, and in line with the overarching corporate goals. The Board of Directors is currently comprised of

- Chair, Peter Houillon, CEO Kaplan UK, Ireland and Middle East
- DBS Head of Institution, Andrew Conlan-Trant, DBS President
- Secretary, Rachael Convery, General Counsel, Kaplan International
- Shareholder Non-Executive Director, Lisa Nelson, Director of Learning & Curriculum, Kaplan UK
- Independent Non-Executive Director (Academic), Dr Richard Thorn, President Emeritus, IT Sligo
- Independent Non-Executive Director (Business), Audrey O'Sullivan, General Counsel and Legal Director, SIRO.

The Board of Directors has the authority to approve decisions made by other College groups or entities.

Two sub committees of the Board exist. One is the **Delegated Authority Committee** (DAC) whose purpose is to provide oversight and assurance to the Board of Directors that there is an effective process for continuous improvement in the preparations for and the state of readiness of DBS to apply for Delegated Authority from QQI and to guide the Executive of the College, as appropriate, in its preparations. The membership of the DAC is the DBS President (Chair of the DAC), the Chair of the overall Board, the Independent Non-Executive Director (Academic), the Registrar and the Independent Chair of the Academic Board.

The other sub committee is the **Audit and Risk Committee** (ARC). The purpose of the ARC is to review and advise the Board on i) the adequacy and effectiveness of the College's arrangements for risk management, internal control and governance; ii) arrangements for ensuring sustainability, promoting economy & value for money, efficiency and effectiveness; iii) the adequacy and effectiveness of the College's data management and quality assurance data management; and iv) the provision of external and internal audit for the College. The ARC is chaired by the Independent Non-Executive Director (Business). The other members are the DBS Chief Financial Officer, a Legal Counsel from Kaplan and another member of the DBS senior leadership team, other than the President. (Currently that is the Head of Academic Operations, who also has responsibility for risk management at the College.)

The Academic Board is the supreme senior academic authority of DBS, and ultimate guardian of the academic integrity and quality of its provision of programmes of education. The Academic Board has responsibility for overseeing all aspects of academic governance to ensure compliance with external and internal academic regulations, policy and quality assurance standards.

The Academic Board is chaired by an independent external member of high standing within the higher education sector; currently the Chair is Brian Bennett, former Registrar of IT Carlow. The Academic Board also comprises the DBS President, Registrar, Academic Dean, Head of Teaching Delivery and

Content Production, Head of Academic Operations, Head of Student Experience, Head of the Information and Resource Centre (Library), Academic Directors, six elected Faculty representatives, (four from the Accounting, Business, Computing and Law disciplines and two from the Humanities, Social Sciences and Creative Media disciplines), the President of the Students' Union, the Vice President of the Students' Union, and an independent academic member, currently Donna Bell, an experienced Higher Education Consultant in Ireland and internationally, with extensive experience as a QQI Chair and external advisor to the HEA.

The Academic Board delegates some matters relating to quality management to subcommittees, such as the Programme Approval Sub-Committee, Board of Studies, Programme Boards and the Academic Appointments Sub-Committee.

The Academic Board has the authority to ratify decisions made by other academic entities within the College.

The Academic Board has ultimate decision-making power and authority on academic matters, with responsibility to advise the Executive Board and Board of Directors accordingly.

The Executive Board, also known as the Senior Leadership Team, comprises all senior managers within the College, and operates in tandem with the Academic Board to ensure the effective operation and quality delivery of academic programmes alongside commercial viability of the College. The Executive Board holds responsibility for the character, mission, values and strategic development of the College. The Executive Board is chaired by the President of the College, and comprises the Chief Commercial Officer, Registrar, Academic Dean, Head of Teaching Delivery and Content Production, Head of Academic Operations, Head of Student Experience, Head of Finance, Head of IT and Head of Strategy, Corporate Development & People.

The Executive Board is a decision-making entity.


The interaction of these three Boards at the most senior level of the College is fundamental to the success of DBS in maintaining and improving quality and standards. As a private college, the business integrity of DBS is further safeguarded by strong corporate governance from the parent company structure, Kaplan Inc. and Graham Holdings Company. DBS currently operates through Graham Holdings committees such as the:

- Compliance Committee
- IT Security Committee
- Corporate Audit Services Committee
- Compensation Committee

The arrangements with Kaplan and Graham Holdings go even further than this. In addition to regular contact on operational matters, more formal coordination of policies and practice is achieved through the following structured engagements across the Kaplan group.

- Quarterly Financial and Business Reviews with Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer of Kaplan Inc.
- Bi-annual IT reviews with Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer of Kaplan Inc.
- Bi-annual Learning Reviews with Kaplan Inc.
- Annual Balance Sheet review with Chief Financial Officer of Kaplan Inc.
- Monthly financial review by Head of Finance, Kaplan UK & Ireland
- Annual review of Employee Engagement with the CEO and Chief People Officer of Kaplan Inc.
- IT Steering Group chaired by Head of IT, Kaplan UK & Ireland
- Legal & compliance support from Kaplan Legal Counsel
- HR support and oversight from Director HR, Kaplan UK & Ireland
- Learning and product development support from Director of Learning, Kaplan UK.

Further governance structures operate below the Academic Board and Senior Leadership level. These structures are laid out in the diagram below. Terms of reference for all committees are laid out in the DBS Quality Assurance Handbook Part A Section 1.3 and each academic-facing committee reports to the Academic Board on a cyclical basis.



DBS Governance Structures

Programme Approval Sub-Committee (PASC)

The remit of the PASC is to evaluate proposals for new programmes for suitability to progress at each stage of the development process, up to submission to QQI. This committee was instituted through the review of policy and procedure for Re-Engagement with QQI. This committee convened on a number

of occasions in 2021/2022, but a review and rationalisation of membership was carried out, along with that of other Academic Board committees, during 2022 and some changes were recommended. These were implemented after the end of the 2021/2022 academic year.

The Quality Enhancement and Risk Management Committee

The Quality Enhancement and Risk Management Committee is responsible for monitoring, review and updating of existing Quality Assurance policies and procedures and identification and assessment of risk as it relates to programme development, delivery and review. This committee was a new committee instituted through the review of policy and procedure for pilot-Re-engagement with QQI in 2017 and the subsequent Re-Engagement process completed in 2019.

Academic Appointments Sub-Committee (AASC)

Following the formal recruitment and interview process carried out by Faculty Managers and Course Directors, all new Faculty/teaching staff in DBS are reviewed by the AASC before appointments are made. The AASC reviews qualifications and professional experience of proposed teaching staff, and may make recommendations or conditions of appointment such as specific training or mentoring.

Admissions Committee

The Admissions Committee is responsible for the monitoring of admissions data to ensure compliance with standards, process and procedures for access, transfer and progression of learners, and to evaluate new or alternative qualifications for entry.

Learning, Teaching and Assessment (LTA) Committee

The LTA Committee aims to foster best practice in pedagogy by support and development of innovative learning and teaching practices, linked to assessment of programme and module outcomes.

Research Committee

The Research Committee's remit is to promote a research-ethos in the College and support those carrying out research, aligned with the College's research strategy.

Library Committee

The Library Committee oversees the strategic position of the library and its contribution to the College, including supporting and contributing to quality assurance in learning, teaching, assessment and research.

Board of Studies

The function of the Board of Studies is to monitor the oversight of programme delivery to ensure quality and consistency of teaching, learning and assessment. Programme delivery is monitored through programme team meetings and individual Programme Boards, with Academic Directors reporting into Board of Studies on all programmes under their area. Any changes or updates to programmes which are proposed are presented to the Board of Studies for review and approval.

Programme Boards

Programme Boards are the mechanism for detailed review and reporting on individual programmes of study and implementation of learning, teaching and research initiatives at programme level. They are Chaired by Academic Directors.

Details of all Academic Board Sub-Committees' including the membership and terms of reference are included in the QAH Part A Sections 1.2.3-1.3. Learners are represented on the Academic Board, Programme Boards, LTA Committee, and Library Committee. As referenced earlier a review of Academic Board committees has taken place and some rationalisation has been done. This will be outlined in next year's AQR.

1.2 Linked Providers, Collaborative and Transnational Provision

Please refer to:

QAH Part C Section 3 Transnational Collaborative and Joint Awards

The following guiding principles govern all collaborative programmes, transnational programmes and joint awards involving DBS:

- DBS will enter into collaborative programmes, transnational programmes and joint awards where there is a clear academic or commercial benefit to such arrangements.
- All collaborative programmes, transnational programmes and joint awards equate to the core vision of DBS, which is the achievement of excellence through learning.
 - In all collaborative programmes, transnational programmes and joint awards, DBS will fulfil its statutory obligations and protect its academic standing.
 - All elements of collaborative programmes, transnational programmes and joint awards must comply with the policies, standards and procedures set out in this section of the QAH which are informed by the policies, standards and procedures outlined in QQI's policy for '*Collaborative Programmes, Transnational Programmes and Joint Awards*'.
- DBS delivers programmes of study that lead to QQI awards. These awards are placed on the NFQ at the appropriate level as set out by programme validation. The learning outcomes of the programmes of study are informed by the appropriate QQI award standards.
- All collaborative programmes, transnational programmes and joint awards must comply with national and international legislative requirements.
- DBS will only consider collaborative programmes with partners who are found to be of good academic reputation and sound financial standing.
- All collaborative programmes, transnational programmes and joint awards, while operating
 within the framework of formal and legally binding agreements, shall be based on close
 working relationships with collaborative partners and accrediting bodies, in an environment of
 openness, transparency, trust and mutual respect.
- The interests of the learner will be paramount in all collaborative programmes, transnational programmes and joint award activity.
- All agreements will have clearly articulated and binding arrangements to ensure adequate provision for the protection of learners.

DBS currently has transnational and collaborative provision as detailed in Section 9.2 below. DBS has had an on-going relationship with KPTM in Malaysia for many years and as such has an established track record of successful transnational provision. DBS also has a recent relationship with EU Business School in Munich where two programmes were validated for transnational provision during the 2020/21 academic year. Student recruitment has commenced onto these programmes. In February 2022 DBS submitted an application to QQI for differential validation of the MSc in Business Analytics and MSc in Digital Marketing for transnational delivery with the University of New York in Prague (UNYP). That application is currently still in process and the panel meeting was held in December 2022, which is after the end of the academic year being reviewed. This will be reported on in more detail in the 2024 AQR.

2.0 Programme Development and Delivery

2.1 Programme Development and Approval

Please refer to:

QAH Part C Section 2 Programme Development and Review

Overview of DBS Programme Development and Review

Programme Development and Review occurs in DBS through a number of internal mechanisms. For existing programmes, programme monitoring occurs through formal discussion and feedback received through programme team meetings (held every 4-6 weeks approximately), Programme Boards (held once a semester), biannual Board of Studies and Annual Programme Reports. If any proposed changes to a programme are identified (within the scope of the validation) these are formally discussed at a programme team level, sanctioned and agreed across the programme team and formally brought to the Board of Studies for review and approval. This process is laid out in more detail below. For new programme development, there is a defined process established which is also detailed below.

Programme Monitoring

Programme team meetings (4-6 weeks approximately)

Academic Directors have successfully established regular and ongoing programme team meetings and these occur at both a programme and discipline level. Programme team meetings are an important mechanism for the two-way flow of information, feedback and discussion on programmes at a team and discipline level. They are internal-facing with an invitation extended to all Faculty (full-time and part-time), Programme Coordinators and Faculty Managers. The Academic Dean may also attend. Agendas are fluid and meetings are minuted.

Programme Boards (once a semester, Terms of Reference QAH Part A Section 1.3.7)

The Programme Board monitors and reports on the constituent aspects of the learner experience and formally captures the progress/development of a programme over time, which in turn feeds into cyclical review. DBS positions these as an important quality assurance and reporting mechanism into the higher academic functions in the College, such as the Board of Studies and Academic Board. They capture the totality of the learner experience on all of the programmes which a discipline offers. Programme Boards occur once a semester, are attended by Faculty, library and learner representatives.

Programme Boards have a standing agenda which requires reporting on qualitative and quantitative data at programme level and also learner, team meeting and external examiner feedback. They also involve a Library report at programme level, and Class Rep input at programme level. The minutes of the Programme Board are then presented to the Board of Studies, with key highlights and issues noted

at this board. Once a year the minutes and reports from the Programme Boards and Board of Studies feed into the Academic Dean's Annual Report to Academic Board.

In the 2021-22 academic year, DBS successfully held Programme Boards across all disciplines. The meeting schedule is set out in Part B 1.3.1 of this Report.

Board of Studies (twice yearly, Terms of Reference QAH Part A Section 1.3.6)

The function of the Board of Studies is to ensure academic quality across all programmes and appropriate implementation of the five year strategic plan in programme delivery. The membership of the board consists of the Academic Dean (Chair); the Chair of the Learning, Teaching and Assessment Committee; the Chair of the Research Committee; the Registrar; all Academic Directors; the Head of Teaching Delivery and Content Production; the Head of Student Experience; a Library representative; the School Administrative Officer and other attendees as required by the agenda. The Board of Studies meets twice a year (November and April/May). The Board of Studies:

- Monitors programmes and suites of programmes to ensure consistency of approach in teaching, learning and assessment across the schools
- Reviews and approves updates to teaching, learning and assessment for programmes or modules, within its scope, referred from Programme Boards.
- Agrees the implementation of new initiatives in teaching, learning and research identified by the Learning, Teaching and Assessment Committee, Research Committee and programme teams, for deployment through Programme Boards.
- And approves programme changes (within scope) and implementation of learning, teaching, assessment and research strategies.

Where required, an extraordinary Board of Studies may be convened. This is most likely to be the case where updates to programme modules are identified arising from feedback during the academic year.


Annual Programme Reports (APRs)

The basis for the refined Annual Programme Reports in DBS is the information collated and reported on at the semesterised Programme Boards. The information from each semester is brought together into a standardised template for the Annual Programme Reports and presented by Academic Directors to the April/May Board of Studies. The Academic Dean will then report on the Annual Programme Reports in the Academic Programmes Annual Report at Academic Board in July each year.

This process was trialled for one discipline area in 2020 and brought forward for all programmes in 2020/2021 with reports presented in all discipline areas. Given the volume of detail and content in the reports, a dedicated session on this was held on 10 September 2021 with all Academic Directors and key members of academic management as well as the President of the College. Academic Directors presented detail of each of their reports at this meeting.

For APRs in the academic year 2021/22, timings are currently under consideration to ensure the process is fully optimised to bring the maximum benefits of the process in addressing issues and making enhancements in the most timely manner. DBS has three standard intakes of students annually, in September, January and April, as well as other smaller non-standard intakes. This can make the reporting cycle challenging.

This process was trialled for one discipline area in 2020 and brought forward for all programmes in 2020/2021 with reports presented in all discipline areas. Following an assessment of workload and data availability, it was agreed that APRs would be produced for each academic discipline on a bi-annual basis for the November Academic Board following the reporting period.



DBS Programme Reporting

Review of Certification of Validation periods

Through an ongoing review of programme reports, retention data, consultation with executive management and market needs, the Academic Dean keeps under constant review any DBS programmes which require review and revalidation. As a minimum all programmes undergo a full review once every 5 years as per statutory requirements, but programmes may be brought forward for early review where a need is identified. Once these are agreed for review, programme teams commence the programme development process. This is further outlined in the next section.

Programme Review

The Academic Dean is responsible for overseeing the formal review process for all programmes. This is carried out under the academic leadership of Academic Directors and in conjunction with programme

teams. It is a collaborative and iterative process that involves input from a wide range of stakeholders: employers/industry feedback; Industry Advisory Boards; professional bodies; learners; internal stakeholders, e.g. finance, marketing, etc.; as well as analysis of qualitative and quantitative data (enrolments; retention; module grades; module semester surveys; programme team and programme board minutes). This information informs any refinements or changes to the programme construct, module weighting, syllabi and the teaching, learning and assessment for the programme. All documents are submitted to the Registrar's Office for internal approval before release to the programme review panel. Final approval is through application to QQI for Re-validation following the full review process.

New programmes

Development of new programmes follows the process laid out in the QAH Part C Section 2. This process was developed as part of the review of policies and procedures for Re-Engagement with QQI, and updated in 2021 with the approval for Devolved Responsibility by QQI. The process is a staged process whereby any proposed new programme must be approved at various checkpoints in its development by a Programme Approval Sub-Committee (PASC):

- Programme proposal
- Developed programme approved to proceed to independent evaluation (mock panel)
- Updated programme approved for submission to QQI

The independent evaluation (mock panel) mirrors the QQI panel event with a condensed panel comprised of an experienced QQI Chair and a minimum of two subject experts (academic and/or industry), and involves a review of documentation and a site visit/meeting.

Employer Engagement

In addition to the above processes outlined, DBS has increasingly put a focus on industry and employer engagement feeding into development of programmes. DBS has identified 7 strategic objectives for 2019-2023 and 2021-2025, and the first of these is to 'Create Work-ready Graduates'. This objective shapes the approach both inside and outside the classroom, with the College dedicated to creating graduates who are able to realise their full potential, are attractive to employers and ready for employment. To achieve this DBS:

- Creates and maintains strong links with employers for programme development
- Leverages associate faculty to bring practical experience to teaching
- Operates an innovative and best-in-class careers support service to students.

Employer Engagement Board

In September 2018 DBS formally launched its Employer Engagement Board. The membership of this board is cross-functional across the College, including Academic Faculty, Academic Directors, Careers Coaches, Sales and Marketing and Senior Management.

This board has been set up to develop an integrated plan of action that increases the engagement with employers and contribute to the DBS objective of having employer-led programmes. It monitors ongoing performance against this plan and ensures full sharing of information across the College on all engagement with employers. The Terms of Reference of the Employer Engagement Board are to:

- Provide institutional guidance to the work of Industry Boards and other employer related activities
- Provide a platform to share relevant employer related information across the College
- Enable the Industry Boards to work effectively and enabling effective engagement with employers
- Ensure the proper collection and storage of all relevant documentation on employer engagement for institutional purposes.

Industry Boards

Industry Advisory Boards also have an important role to play in DBS achieving its vision and have been set up across functional discipline areas in DBS. They support and feed into programme development and review, learner career development, and access to real life projects/case studies and guest speakers.

The DBS Industry Advisory Boards are chaired by a non-DBS industry representative, and consist of the Academic Director in the relevant area, DBS Careers Coaches, and a number of outside industry and academic experts. They meet on a quarterly basis, and support DBS Faculty in staying up-to-date with developments in industry, and ensure that programmes meet the trends and needs of the current environment. They also shape future programme development and evolution.

DBS currently has four industry boards:

- Marketing and Business, in existence since April 2020 (25 members)
- Computing, in existence since September 2019 (16 members)
- Accounting and Finance, in existence since September 2018, reformulated from an original FinTech basis (9 members).
- Human and Social Sciences, in existence since January 2022 (16 members)

2.2 Admission, Progression, Recognition & Certification

Please refer to:
<u>QAH Part B Section 1 Learner Admissions</u>:
1.1 Information for Applicants Policy
<u>QAH Part A Section 2 Overarching Policies</u>:
2.9 Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Policy
<u>QAH Part B Section 6 Examination Boards and Award Classifications</u>:
6.3 Progression and Classification of Awards

Access, Transfer and Progression

Details regarding DBS Policy on Access, Transfer and Progression are found in the Quality Assurance Handbook as linked to above.

The entry criteria are provided on a dedicated webpage for each programme, and a link to the prospectus in pdf form is provided in each case. See <u>https://www.dbs.ie/courses</u>

Admissions Office

DBS Admissions Team deals with all admissions to all programmes, including undergraduate, postgraduate and Springboard programmes, direct entry applications into programmes from Year 2 onwards, and domestic and international students.

The application process varies according to the programme of study and the level of the student's prior learning. CAO and Springboard applications are made directly to those bodies, and all other applications are made directly to the College. Ninety-eight percent of applications are completed online.

Recognition of Prior Learning

Applications are accepted from those who may not hold the standard required entry qualifications but who may have demonstrable relevant experience. Information on how to apply for Recognition of Prior Learning is available on the website.

The various application types and information on each is provided on our website as follows: <u>https://www.dbs.ie/application-form</u> <u>https://www.dbs.ie/courses/full-time-undergraduate</u> <u>https://www.dbs.ie/ftug-application-procedures</u> <u>https://www.dbs.ie/entry-requirements</u> <u>https://www.dbs.ie/international-students</u> <u>https://www.dbs.ie/courses/springboard</u>

Open Days

As part of information provision to potential applicants, DBS runs a significant number of Open Days annually. In 2021-22, eleven open events were held during the year, all taking place online due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Open Days provide prospective students with the opportunity to visit DBS and talk to our lecturers, admissions staff and students, and to discover what is available at DBS and how the student's interests can be met through our range of programmes. Usually Open Days give students an opportunity to explore the campus, visit the buildings and see the facilities as meeting with student services. In the online environment, this has been replicated as much as possible.

Information Sessions

DBS also runs a number of Information Sessions for applicants to specific programmes such as the BA (Hons) Counselling and Psychotherapy, MBA, MSc in Information and Library Management. Information sessions offer students the opportunity to experience courses first hand by getting more detailed information about the programme, meet the faculty and also meet with current students to hear their experience. Prospective students participate in a range of workshops and meet with lecturers and current students.

Scholarships

DBS offers three scholarships annually to local schools in the community based on hardship cases put forward by the schools themselves. All successful scholarship learners must meet the minimum entry requirements. The scholarship provides an opportunity to a student who in the normal course would not have had the opportunity to avail of Higher Education. The scholarship covers their tuition fees along with a monthly allowance to assist them during their time of study at the College in a full time capacity.

Information to Current Learners

The DBS Student website at <u>https://students.dbs.ie</u> is a one-stop shop for any information or resources that learners require during their time studying in the College. Functional and support areas of the College input into this including Academic Operations, Student Experience, Library, Exams, Student Engagement and Success Unit, and Quality Assurance.

Some features to note are:

- Learner Handbooks
 - o Learner Handbooks are available on the student website and are updated regularly,
 - allowing students to have easy access to the most up to date information at all times.
- News Updates
 - Regular news updates allow students to keep abreast of what is happening both in and outside the College.
- Student Help
 - The student help section links and advises on areas such as student well-being, accommodation, computer support, International Student Assistance and virtual student information and meet-ups.
- Timetables

- All timetables (for classes and exams) are available and can be filtered by learner, lecturer, course or building.
- Quality Assurance Handbook (QAH)
 - $\circ~$ The QAH is broken into sections to allow students to find the right information quickly when they need it.
- Student Self Service
 - Through the single sign-on facility linked from the website, students can access their personal DBS e-mail, library account, timetables and virtual learning environment (Moodle), update their personal details, log tickets and request a number of self-service letters which are automatically sent to the student's e-mail.
- Induction Material
 - All induction material is available via the site in easily accessible units which students can return to for future reference.

2.3 Procedures for Making Awards

N/A

2.4 Teaching, Learning and Assessment

Please refer to:

QAH Part C Section 1 Learning and Teaching:

- 1.1 Staffing of Academic Programmes Policy
- 1.2 Learning and Teaching Policy
- 1.3 Academic Research Policy
- 1.4 Blended Learning Policy
- 1.5 Recording Learning Activities Policy
- 1.6 Group Work Policy

QAH Part A Section 2 Overarching Policies:

- 2.9 Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Policy
- 2.10 Conflict of Interest Policy for Programme Delivery

QAH Part B Section 5 Assessment Regulations:

- 5.1 Assessment Overarching Policy
- 5.2 Learner Assessment Procedures
- 5.3 Continuous Assessment (CA) Word Count Policy
- 5.4 Late Submission Policy
- 5.5 Repeating a Failed Module Policy
- 5.6 Progression with ECTS Deficit (Trailing) Policy
- 5.7 Feedback on Examinations Policy
- 5.8 Access, Retention & Destruction of Examination Scripts Policy
- 5.9 Verification of an Assessment Result Policy
- 5.10 Online Exams Policy

QAH Part B Section 6 Examinations Boards and Awards Classifications:

• 6.1 External Monitoring of Programmes Policy

DBS Strategy for Learning, Assessment and Teaching Enhancement (SLATE)

Monitoring of Quality of Teaching and Learning

At a programme level, regular programme team meetings monitor the ongoing quality of teaching and learning, and an Annual Programme Report highlights reflections on the teaching and learning environment in the previous iteration of the programme.

Quality of the online learning environment is monitored via an audit of the module pages on the VLE, Moodle, which are assessed against a set of criteria. Lecturers are encouraged to engage in a peerreview of their online learning, in a process that is managed by the Academic Director, where lecturers assess a peer's online classes and Moodle page against a set of criteria.

Learner feedback on teaching and learning quality is captured via a module survey that is run once a semester, the results of which are examined by the Academic Dean. Learner feedback on teaching and learning quality is also captured via regular Class Rep meetings, details of which are fed back to the Academic Dean and the Academic Directors. DBS also subscribes to StudentSurvey.ie, which

allows for the comparison of learner views of the quality of teaching and learning at DBS against other HEIs and the sector as a whole.

Enhancing Teaching and Learning

Improving the quality of Teaching and Learning at DBS is guided by the institution's Strategy for Learning, Assessment and Teaching Enhancement (SLATE). This three-year strategy (2020-23) has three objectives:

- 1. For DBS to be known as a learning-centred higher education institution;
- 2. To ensure integration of educators, learners and industry experts in the learning experience; and
- 3. To increase the flexibility of the learning environment.

The strategy, which contains a number of actions against each of these objectives, is reviewed annually. The Learning Teaching and Assessment sub-committee of the Academic Board is responsible for guiding and advising on the implementation of SLATE, teaching, learning and assessment policy development and academic training and development.

Areas for improvement that are identified by any of the ongoing feedback and monitoring mechanisms noted above are brought to the attention of the Head of Teaching Delivery and Content Production, and Academic Dean. A bespoke plan is put in place to address the concerns that draws on the training and development resources and processes laid out in Section A.5 below.

Quality Assurance of Assessment

DBS policies and procedures for the monitoring of assessments processes are laid out in the *Quality Assurance Handbook* as per the links above. Prior to commencement of the academic year 2021/22, in light of continued restrictions arising from the COVID-19 pandemic, the decision was made that exams would continue to be held online for the duration of the academic year to allow for planning and advance information to be provided to incoming and continuing learners regarding the nature of assessment. This was supported by the Online Exams Policy which had been created and implemented from February 2021, and exams were hosted online through the 2021/22 academic year. Of particular importance is independent monitoring through the External Examiner process. DBS seeks to appoint academic experts of high standing into the External Examiner roles for each programme. The Exams Office has increasingly been digitising its processes, and since 2019 External Examiner packs have been provided to Externs in electronic format, which has allowed for greater efficiencies. Since 2020, DBS moved all Exam Boards online and also hosted a number of meetings with Externs online, in order to keep them appraised of contingencies arising around assessments. Online meetings and Exam Boards facilitated attendance for Externs and it is DBS's intent to continue with these.

3.0 Learner Resources and Support

Please refer to:

QAH Part B Section 2 Learner Supports:

- 2.1 Information and Support for Learners
- 2.2 Premises and Facilities
- 2.3 IT Facilities
- 2.4 Online Learning Supports
- 2.5 Student Experience
- 2.6 DBS Library

One of DBS's strategic objectives is to support student success and enhance the student experience. The College aims to provide the academic resources, student services, engagement supports and infrastructure to create an outstanding student experience and enable strong academic outcomes. Since 2021-22, academic support outside the timetable for learners at DBS is coordinated by the Academic Support Community (ASC), which brings together staff-led support through the library, student-led support through Student Experience and targeted support for at-risk learners identified using learning analytics by the Student Engagement and Success Unit (SESU). Collectively, the ASC offers a seven-phase programme of themed events and interventions over two semesters. The first four phases of the programme, which replaces the previous "First 100 Days Programme" offers the same topics of learner support but from both the learner and staff perspective, with the added layer of bespoke support for at-risk learners.

Semester One

- Weeks 1-3 Are you ready to learn?
- Weeks 4-6 Assignment Success
- Weeks 7-9 Applying Feedback
- Weeks 10-12 Exam & Research Success

Semester 2

- Weeks 1-4 Re-energise
- Weeks 5-6 Breathing Weeks
- Weeks 6-10 Exam Success

Academic Operations Team

The Academic Operations Department provides first-line supports and information to students from the point of registration through to final graduation. Programme Coordinators assigned to specific discipline areas manage information provided to students regarding their specific programme of study. Through the Programme Coordinator, students have a readily accessible point of contact they can approach with any queries they may have during their time with us in DBS. Alongside email, phone, instant messaging, and a ticketing system, Programme Coordinators also sit on the Service Desk on a rostered basis to assist students in person while they are on campus.

Student Experience Team

The Student Experience Team ensures that students have the best possible College-life experience and to promote a DBS community and culture that is focused on their wellbeing and success. The team was delighted to win the inaugural Education Award for Best Student Experience in 2017 followed in 2021 when they were recognised globally as a Kaplan Way Award Winner for Student Success. The Student Experience Team look after a number of areas including Student Services Hub, Student Welfare and Well-being, Disability and Inclusion, International Office, Clubs and Societies, Student Leadership Programme, and Careers Hub.

Student Engagement & Success Unit

The Student Engagement and Success Unit (SESU) is a dedicated Unit to support all new DBS students in transitioning successfully into Higher Education. Support is provided to new students in the following ways:

- Support from staff within the Unit who also bridge to the collective supports provided by the College.
- A central knowledge-base about institutional supports.
- Individual and collective support provision. Where a student's needs are more specific, the Unit can link students into the relevant College support e.g. the learning centres, the counselling service or peer mentors.
- A student engagement and success areas of the website, which provides a toolkit of transition information for new entrants.

Peer Mentor Programme

The DBS Peer Mentor Programme is designed to give students across DBS the opportunity to represent and mentor students by sharing their stories and experiences of college life. Mentors act as positive role models throughout the year to their respective groups and are sources of information, from orientation through to the end of the year. The mentors help make coming to DBS a more welcoming, less daunting experience. As well as arranging informal meetings and social events with their mentees, the team assists with any queries or concerns that new students may have. Throughout the year this team of students is supported by the Student Experience Team.

In the academic year 2021/22 DBS has over 100 peer mentors divided across three areas programme based, regional (by nation) based, and year-based mentors. Each student mentor is given continuous high quality training throughout the academic year to ensure they are fully engaged in the College experience and best prepared to support their mentees. The DBS Peer Mentor Programme has been recognised as best in class, and was presented at the ICOS Annual Conference in May 2018 and The USI National Forum in November 2018.

Student Council

The DBS Student Council welcomes all students appointed or elected to the role of Class Representative, Peer Mentors, Sports Clubs & Society Leaders and members of the Students Union. The Council acts as a platform for two-way communication between the College and the student body. Students who represent the Student Council are made aware that they are responsible for collecting feedback from the student body and notifying the College regarding any specific issues that are arise.

Class Reps

DBS was the first private college to engage with USI to train all Class Reps on the NStep Programme. This programme was launched in 2016 by the HEA, QQI and USI, and applies best principles of student engagement to enhance and enrich the College's interactions with its Class Reps.

Blended Learning

As part of its strategic objectives, DBS has been looking towards more flexible learning offerings, and its Blended Learning Policy was approved by QQI in 2019 alongside the application for Re-Engagement. Since then, DBS has been incorporating options for blended delivery, including ondemand asynchronous content, into new programmes and to existing programmes as they are reviewed and revalidated.

Development

Decisions over blends of learning modes are pedagogically based and are governed by the <u>ABC</u> <u>Curriculum Design</u> methodology. The creation of content for blended learning programmes is guided by the principles of Universal Design and is in keeping with DBS Teaching, Learning and Assessment Policy. Materials and resources will be reviewed annually. DBS has invested in an audio-visual studio and recruited experienced audio-visual and instructional design staff to facilitate development. The blended module development process ensures that the learning resources are sufficient to cover the indicative syllabus in the module descriptor. The documented and validated process for ensuring the lecturer is qualified to teach the subject applies as it does across DBS. Additional training will be provided to ensure that all blended teaching staff are comfortable with the technology and understand the potential issues around moderating online discussions.

Before Learning

Learners are advised in advance of the blended nature of programmes, where applicable, through programme information provided on the DBS website, at open evenings and events, and through information such as the Learner Handbook.

All blended modules start with an orientation for learners, who are advised of the hardware and software requirements well in advance. During induction, learners are informed as to their responsibility to engage with the learning opportunities provided and to shape their own learning experience and DBS's approach to netiquette for online learning behaviour.

During Learning

The timetable distinguishes for learners the different modes of delivery on a blended learning programme, so learners are aware of in-class, online and on-demand learning from the timetable. Each on-demand lessons start and end with a summary of the learning covered in the lesson.

The use of the Course Progress Bar in the VLE allows learners and lecturers to see which activities have been completed and which are overdue, if applicable. Knowledge Check quizzes for all online study units will be used to affirm understanding of the topic. Forums will be used to provide asynchronous communication and questions. Online chatrooms can be scheduled for synchronous chat.

Use of the activity completion, progress bar, knowledge check quizzes and other formative assessments enable lecturers to monitor engagement from the start and contact those who are not engaging. All support mechanisms common to traditionally delivered (non-blended) modules will be provided to learners on blended modules, including monitoring and supports through SESU.

Online discussions and comments are monitored, and that any comments that are identified as or reported as disrespectful are managed through the standard Complaints or Disciplinary process. Validation of assessment and moderation of student submissions do not differ from standard documented processes which apply to traditional (non-blended) modules. Use of personal data will be in keeping with <u>DBS Privacy Policy</u>. Programme Coordinators and IT Support are available to learners as with all programmes, as well as feedback mechanisms through student surveys and class rep meetings. DBS uses its virtual learning environment to host all blended learning content, which is secure and convenient for learners.

4.0 QA of Research Activities and Programmes

Please refer to: <u>QAH Part C Section 1 Learning and Teaching:</u>

• 1.3 Academic Research Policy

Research at DBS

Research in DBS is overseen by the Research sub-committee of the Academic Board. The Committee is made up of research active faculty from across the College, as nominated by the Academic Directors, and staff who support research, including the Research Librarian and a representative of the Ethics Committee. The Practice Research Coordinator, in place since February 2021, drives the College's research strategy, and coordinates with the Library and academic disciplines to focus resources and encourage research in each area.

Research in each of the disciplines is guided by informal research groups or industry advisory boards, which report back on research activity to the research sub-committee. DBS awards research scholarships to ten faculty each year. The scholarships finance time off the teaching timetable to conduct research. Applications for research scholarships are independently blind reviewed against a set of criteria by a 3-person panel of external reviewers. Scholarship recipients provide a six month report of their research activity.

The Practice Research Coordinator produces an annual report each December that includes a record of research outputs from DBS faculty and staff, research support initiatives, funding applications and a summary of the annual Practice Research Conference, which takes place in May.

5.0 Staff Recruitment, Development and Support

Please refer to:QAH Part A Section Governance:•1.3.9 Terms of Reference for Academic Appointments Sub-Committee (AASC)QAH Part A Section 2 Overarching Policies:•2.9 Equality, Diversity and Inclusion PolicyQAH Part C Section 1 Learning and Teaching:•Staffing of Academic Programmes PolicyDBS Recruitment PolicyDBS Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Policy (HR)DBS Policy on Staff Members Attending Internal Courses

HR Governance, Policies and Processes

DBS Policies cover a wide range of topics from recruitment and selection to performance management and working from home. These are regularly reviewed and updated in accordance with the employment law legislative changes and political/environmental changes as and when required.

Recruitment, Development and Support of Staff

DBS takes responsibility for the quality of its staff providing staff with a supportive environment that allows them to carry out their work effectively. The accountability of this is through the Senior Leadership Team and the DBS HR function. The HR vision is to be a trusted resource and strategic business partner by engaging in value-added initiatives across all facets of HR that are aligned to the vision, mission and purpose of DBS.

In respect of the recruitment, management and development of DBS staff, DBS HR has focused on seven core areas, namely:

- Recruitment/selection and induction
- Culture and engagement
- Effective talent management and development
- Performance management
- Health and wellbeing
- Reward and recognition
- HR governance, policies and processes

Recruitment and Selection

The fundamentals around the DBS recruitment process is to be fair and transparent. DBS is committed to Inclusion and Diversity, and working towards a better and more inclusive future for everyone. DBS has created a programme of activities to help the new joiner to find their way around the organisation, and understand the culture and DBS ambitions to help equip them with everything they need to create success and be successful.

The guidelines and principles of the <u>DBS Recruitment Policy</u> and <u>DBS Diversity</u>, <u>Equity and Inclusion</u> <u>Policy</u> are followed in recruiting staff. The end-to-end recruitment process is managed through the digital platform Workday.

Where appropriate and relevant, jobs are advertised both internally and externally through a variety of media. Applicants apply for the vacancy via the Workday platform. Applicants are screened on the basis of meeting the qualitative and technical criteria of the role through competency-based interviewing. In some instances, where appropriate, applicants are further screened by utilising relevant psychometric tests, for example the Saville Wave personality and aptitude tests and the PRISM neuro linguistic test. Other screening tools include standard reference checking and Garda vetting. All teaching staff are also subject to endorsement by the Academic Appointments Sub Committee (AASC), a sub committee of the Academic Board, which verifies that the proposed appointee to a teaching or supervision role is suited and/or may be in need of some teaching or assessment supports.

Induction

New hires are required to undertake an induction programme that covers pre-boarding to their first day, their first week and through to their three-month and six-month milestones. The induction and training programme is a combination of online and face-to-face provision, and covers on-boarding to information about DBS, Values and Behaviours, training modules, payroll, benefits etc. Included in this onboarding program is the appointment of a 'buddy' to each new employee prior to their commencement to support them in their introduction to DBS.

The pre-boarding includes:

Ensuring all contractual details are accurate and the necessary steps in the on-boarding
process is complete on Workday to gain access to all relevant DBS systems

The first day includes:

- Introduction to team and colleagues and a tour of DBS
- Introduction to the DBS Values and Behaviours
- An understanding of the role and responsibilities

The first week includes:

- Discussing individual and team goals and KPIs
- Role specific training and development
- Familiarisation with DBS policies and benefits

The first three months includes:

- Understanding team goals and KPIs
- Completion of mandatory compliance training modules e.g. Code of Conduct, Information Security and Privacy, GDPR

At six months - probation

• Successful completion of probation period and ongoing training and development and management.

Culture and Engagement

The DBS vision states that DBS will be the most respected, diverse and progressive higher education institution in Ireland, renowned globally for <u>making a difference</u> and creating successful careers.


The DBS values are:

- ★ Act with Integrity
 - Do everything to the highest ethical standards
- ★ Empower and Support
 - Share and Solve problems for the greater good of DBS
- ★ Create Opportunity
 - Encourage new ideas and look for new ways of doing things
- ★ Grow Knowledge
 - Encourage the development of our colleagues
- ★ Drive Results Together
 - Have a 'can do will do' attitude

As part of enhancing the Vision and Values of DBS, the 'Making a Difference' programme was launched in 2019. This programme was developed with the objective to bring together a number of simple initiatives that would have a positive impact in different ways and on different people, such as DBS staff, students and society. Some of these initiatives include: the Sustainable Environment Initiative, monthly newsletters, charities of choice and a formal staff suggestion mechanism.

Also under the 'Making a Difference' banner was the creation and distribution of a set of DBS behaviours derived from the DBS Vision and Values.

In relation to assessing staff engagement, each year DBS employees are invited to participate in the Global Kaplan Annual Survey. This survey covers the following areas: continuous improvement, diversity and inclusion, manager relationship, growth and development, intention to stay and, teamwork and collaboration. DBS has participated in this programme for six years. Over this period the overall employee engagement has continued to increase incrementally with just one exception in 2021. The graph shows that trend over the past 5 years.



Talent Management and Development

DBS is focused on the talent management and development of its staff. Following a training needs analysis DBS launched a training calendar inviting staff to participate in a range of online courses covering Microsoft Office and soft skill courses such as time management, communication skills and workshops in relation to health and wellbeing. DBS also offers staff and their families the opportunity to study Dublin Business School programmes. Staff can take a course free of charge and family members receive a 25% discount. Details of this are in the <u>Policy for Staff Members Attending Internal Dublin Business School Courses</u>.

Staff are also required to undertake mandatory courses which are included in the online induction programme, including GDPR requirement, Information Security and Privacy and Code of Conduct training.

The Kaplan Group also offers training courses. Most recently managers completed an Inclusion and Diversity course. The 'Great Place' Leadership Programme consisting of three modules was also launched in 2020.

Over the course of the year regular 'Lunch and Learn' sessions include topics such as Cybersecurity, Learner Engagement and Research Support.

Performance Management

Of particular note is DBS's focus on performance management which is an annual process with appraisals conducted in the last quarter of the year, and goal setting conducted in the first quarter of the year. This involves the management and review of staff against a number of criteria, namely: communication, learner/customer focus, problem solving and execution, result focus, teamwork, behaviour, change agent, leadership, developing people, analysis, student focus, research and professional development, individual development plan and attitude and behaviour.

This process is in the process of being digitised on the Workday HR platform.

Health and Wellbeing

DBS offers a wide range of support services to its staff members. An Employee Assistance Programme is offered through Laya Healthcare and during the pandemic and our operations and teaching moving online, the DBS HR department launched a series of packs focused on mental and physical well-being. These have continued while operations and teaching returned to on-site.

Reward and Recognition

Each year, the Kaplan Group celebrates the Kaplan Way Awards. Through the Kaplan Way Awards staff nominate colleagues who have exemplified resilience and brought 'The Kaplan Way' to life. This includes those who have gone above and beyond to further Student Success, helped make Kaplan A Great Place, introduced improvements to Continuously Transform the business, or modelled the Shared Values.

Each year DBS also runs an 'Above and Beyond' awards programme to recognise employees whose work and results best exemplify DBS's priorities and values. This is celebrated via a launch event and a recognition award.

In addition to this, to recognise those staff who have made a commitment and valuable contribution to DBS over the years, and to celebrate their important service anniversary of 10, 20 and 25 years, DBS recognises this through an annual event and a 'Long Service' award and gift.

Recruitment and Onboarding of Faculty

Recruitment of faculty commences with the advertisement of the specified discipline vacancy on via the DBS LinkedIn account and on the DBS website. The advertisement must include the requisite academic qualifications, business experience and person specification. Only candidates that satisfy the minimum criteria specified are considered for interview stage. Applications are screened by the Faculty Manager and Academic Director and a short-list of qualified candidates is drawn up and invited to present for an interview. An interview panel is constituted which is normally chaired by the Faculty Manager or Academic Director or Subject Expert. For all lecturer appointments, candidates are required to give a 10-15 minute presentation on an allocated topic within their subject area.

As detailed in the *Quality Assurance Handbook*, it is DBS policy that all candidates for academic positions are presented to the Academic Appointments Sub-Committee (AASC) for review prior to an offer and contract being issued. This committee comprises members of academic management of the College and the Quality Assurance Officer is Secretary to this committee. The Committee reviews CVs and interview notes of all candidates and will make recommendations for appointment. Where appropriate, the AASC may set conditions on an approval, such as the requirement for an Advanced Pathway with additional Teaching or Supervision training and supports, or a specific limitation to a particular role or subject area, depending on the candidate's academic and professional experience, to

ensure the quality of the teaching is maintained. Once the candidate is endorsed by the AASC, a certificate is issued. The AASC certificate is sent to the HR department.

In advance of a contract being issued HR ensures:

- Reference checks are completed
- Identification is provided
- Evidence of academic qualifications is provided
- Garda Vetting is completed

All contracts include a probation period of 6 months.

Induction and Orientation of New Faculty

All new members of academic staff undergo an induction process which is the responsibility of the relevant Faculty Manager, Academic Director and the Academic Mentor, with input from senior academic management. The induction is to ensure that new starters gain an understanding of the learning environment they are entering and to introduce them to the operational practicalities of delivering programmes at DBS.

As part of the induction 'pathway' process, the new lecturer meets with the Faculty Manager, Academic Director and the Learning Unit (formerly known as the EdTech team) to cover key functional and teaching, learning and assessment areas:

- 1. Faculty Manager:
 - Confirms timetable
 - Introduces the new starter to the 3 month and 6 month probation meetings
 - Arranges a meeting with the relevant Academic Director
 - Takes the lecturer through the process of how they get paid
 - In conjunction with the Academic Director, assigns a mentor/buddy
 - Provides information on HR policies, such as the lecturer absence policy
 - Arranges an operational induction (e.g. an introduction to academic calendars, the central academic noticeboard and timetabling in Celcat)
 - Ensures that the IT Department has contacted the lecturer to arrange an IT induction
 - Arranges induction with the Learning Unit
 - Provides a campus tour
 - Arranges a staff ID card
 - Arranges induction with the Exams Team
 - Arranges induction with the Library Team
- 2. Academic Director:
 - Introduces the lecturer to the Lecturer Handbook, *Quality Assurance Handbook* and Learner Code of Conduct
 - Provides a programme overview, including how the module(s) fit in to the programme(s), number of ECTS and indicative syllabus
 - Provides a Module Guide
 - Introduces the lecturer to previous Moodle pages from the module
 - Provides an introduction to preparing for a class, including what to cover in the first few classes

- Gives an overview of learner-centred practices in DBS
- Outlines the module assessment strategy and associated marking rubrics
- Outlines the moderation process
- Covers expectations and requirements for providing Student feedback
- Details of reporting fora (programme team meetings, Boards of Studies)
- Assigning and introduction of a buddy
- 3. Learning, Teaching and Assessment Unit:
 - Shows the lecturer how to use Moodle and teaching tools (such as Zoom)
 - Directs the lecturer to asynchronous teaching and learning content on training and development Moodle page

The Management of Faculty

Faculty Managers have direct line management responsibility for a large number of staff within DBS, ensuring that all programmes are staffed correctly and appropriately. The Faculty Managers work with the Academic Directors in staff planning for their relevant programmes. The Academic Directors have ownership of a suite of programmes in a specific discipline and are responsible for the overall delivery and development of those programmes. They work with the programme teams to ensure that the modules are taught and assessed according to the Approved Programme Schedules.

Faculty Managers are responsible for ensuring that staff performance is reviewed regularly and perform formal and informal reviews with lecturers throughout the year. Informal student feedback is acted upon as it comes in, whereby the Faculty Manager and Academic Director will work with Faculty to resolve any issues. During the formal annual appraisal both the lecturer and the Faculty Manager will review the lecturer's performance against competencies and provide comments to support the ratings assigned. The performance appraisal is informed by data that is collected throughout the academic year:

- Student feedback from the formal student questionnaires
- Class representative feedback
- Feedback from Academic Directors
- Attendance at relevant meetings such as programme team meetings, faculty meeting and examination boards
- Moodle audits (to ensure Moodle pages are populated with sufficient information and to the required standard)
- Engagement with training sessions and CPD events
- Timely submission of exam papers/submission of marks to Moodle and submission of the necessary supporting documentation
- Engagement with new technologies and new methods of teaching
- Contribution to curriculum development

Faculty Training & Support for Learning, Teaching and Assessment

Introduction

DBS provides a multi-faceted approach to teaching and learning training and support for faculty. During 2022 formalised its Learning Unit to support faculty, with additional resources. The Learning Unit now consists of two Educational Developers and a Learning Technologist. The unit provides formal and informal training and development opportunities in multiple modes, covering both technical and pedagogical issues.

Ongoing Training and CPD

Training and Support Site

All faculty have access to an area on Moodle with asynchronous training content on teaching and learning and 'how-to' practical instruction on using education technology. The site is regularly updated with new content. Currently, its focus is specifically designed to support the transition to synchronous online teaching and learning, such as designing and delivering an online class, technical guidance for online teaching, designing online assessments and exams and applied online teaching. This asynchronous content is complemented with synchronous sessions.

Topics on the Training and Support Site:

- Design and Delivery
 - Multimodal Delivery
 - o Hybrid Delivery
 - o Module and Lesson Planning
 - Engaging Delivery
- Technical Guidance
 - DBS Moodle Essentials
 - Practical Guidance for Hybrid Teaching
 - Using Zoom for online classes
 - Creating groups in Moodle
 - Using Mentimeter in the Digital Classroom
- Applied Teaching Online
 - o Teaching practical skills online
 - Using remote PC control in Zoom
 - Using Zoom's Remote Control Feature
 - o Sharing Multiple Screens in Zoom
- Assessment
 - o Guide to Online Exams
 - o Lecturer Guide to Revision
 - o Guide to Post-Exam
 - Guide to Online Assessment and Writing Online Exams
 - o Continuous Assessment Preparing an online in-class test
 - Using Moodle Quiz for an In Class (Summative)
 - o A Guide to Marking and Grading in Moodle

Drop-in Sessions

The Learning Unit and the Assistant Registrar host a drop-in session (currently online) every

Wednesday from 5-6.30pm. All faculty are welcome to attend to ask any questions or raise concerns at these sessions, which are often followed up by one-to-one training at a later date. An appointment booking mechanism is available for faculty unable to attend the drop-in times.

Training by request

The Learning Unit is available to meet Faculty by appointment to discuss any and all aspects of teaching and learning, including the use of educational technology, such as using the VLE or other tools.

Faculty Continuing Professional Development (CPD)

DBS offers CPD on three levels:

- During 2022, the Learning Unit developed an eight-phase on demand module pathway, which takes faculty from the initial steps of taking on a new module through to reflecting on their teaching performance having taught the module. This module pathway can be engaged with individually, with the support of the learning unit or with peers from a programme team. The module pathway will be mandatory for all new faculty during their probation period from the start of 2023. The Module Pathway is accompanied by workshops and informal lunch n' learns staged by the Learning Unit.
- Formal short courses designed by the National Forum for the Enhancement of Teaching and Learning.
- Access to AdvanceHE fellowships through institutional access membership.

Programme-Based Training and Support

DBS is developing a programme-based approach to enhancing the quality of the learning environment. There are two aspects to this enhancement: bespoke targeted training to address identified quality issues in the delivery of a programme and a peer-based teaching observation and feedback scheme under the guidance of the Academic Director. The peer-based teaching observation invites participants to consider the quality of each other's learning environment against criteria and devise actions to enhance the learning environment. Both these initiatives are at the nascent stage of development. The peer-based teaching observation is currently focussed on the quality of teaching content, with assessment moderators also tasked with reviewing teaching content and the layout of the Moodle pages. Observation of recordings and peer feedback on delivery is not taking place as a matter of course, but only when an issue with the teaching delivery has emerged through learner feedback or moderation of the teaching content. Moderation of content and observation of recordings can lead to bespoke training by the Learning Unit at the request of the Faculty Manager and/or Academic Director.

6.0 Information and Data Management

Please refer to:

QAH Part A Section 2 Overarching Policies:

o 2.5 Student Records and Data Retention Policy

o 2.8 Learning Analytics Policy

Student Guide to Learning Analytics

Student Engagement Dashboard User Guide

Information about learners enrolled with DBS is currently held on the internal Student Information System (SIS), Agresso (note, a new SIS is in the process of going live and will be reported upon in the 2024 AQR). This includes all records around module enrolment, outcomes at each stage, final award and any additional supports needed. In addition, DBS uses the Virtual Learning Environment Moodle for programme delivery, Celcat timetabling software, the Koha library management system, and, the Mercer Mettl online exams proctoring platform, which has been used for some exams in 2021/22 and will be rolled out further in the next academic year.

Collection and use of data complies with GDPR and privacy legislation at all times. DBS Privacy Policy is available on the website here: <u>https://www.dbs.ie/privacy-policy</u>

DBS uses learning analytics to provide accurate and actionable insights into the learning process through the exploration, modelling and aggregation of relevant data sources and to provide an evidence base for optimising the conditions in which learning can flourish.

Students are informed of the Learning Analytics Policy at induction, and a copy of the policy is made available in the *Quality Assurance Handbook* as above. In addition, a <u>Student Guide to Learning</u> <u>Analytics</u> is made available on the home page in Moodle.

At the student level, DBS puts the data that is drawn from the information sources outlined above into a 'dashboard' which allows the student or their lecturer to view student data and identify any anomalies, risks, or concerns. If issues are identified, interventions may be put in place for individual learners. The Student Engagement Dashboard is available via Moodle or the student portal. A <u>Student Engagement</u> <u>Dashboard User Guide</u> is also made available via Moodle.

At the institution level, DBS puts the data that is drawn from the information sources outlined above into an 'early alert report' which allows the Data Analytics and Reporting Manager and the Student Engagement Officer to view student data and identify any anomalies, risks, or concerns. The data which comprises the early alert report is at a more granular level than that of the student engagement dashboard.

Data is used throughout the student life cycle, but a particular focus is placed on a number of key 'touch points':

- Week 1 Has the student logged into Moodle and are they attending lectures?
- Week 3 Has the student has taken out a book from the library?
- Week 6 Has the student submitted their first Continuous Assessment?
- Week 9 Has the student has logged into Moodle, attended lectures, submitted their CAs to date, and paid fees?

Interventions then may be put in place which take the form of the following series of contacts:

- E-mail
- Phone call
- Face-to-face talk
- Tea & talk
- Walk & talk

The aim of the interventions is to help students find a solution to their problems in a sustainable manner. An executive summary of 'engagement' data is provided to the Academic Dean and Academic Directors, which in turn feeds into Programme Boards and other fora as necessary.

Retention

DBS defines retention as the percentage of students who successfully complete all modules on which they are enrolled within an academic year. Each year, a Retention Summary Report is compiled and published, which summarises retention across programmes in Arts, Business and Law delivered by DBS:

- All full-time Levels 6-9 programmes
- All part-time Levels 6, 8 and 9 programmes
- Labour market activation programmes (Springboard, ICT, and HCI)
- Non-framework programmes (Study Abroad and Professional Diplomas).


This data allows a comparison, where appropriate, with retention data published by the HEA in 'A Study of Progression in Irish Higher Education' (2010) or 'A Study of Progression in Irish Higher Education' (2018). Note: The 2018 publication does not provide a breakdown of progression for years 2 and 3 at Level 6, 7 and 8 in the same way as the 2010 publication. The reporting is intended to underpin a constructive and collective engagement with the challenges presented in retaining not only our traditional undergraduate and postgraduate students, but also our non-framework students and students on labour market activation programmes. The report is provided to the Academic Dean and

Academic Directors, Faculty, Academic Board, and Board of Studies, which in turn feeds into Programme Boards and other fora as necessary.

Retention figures are provided to Academic Directors and the Academic Dean team, which includes Academic Learner Support and Faculty support. The retention figures inform discipline and institutewide initiatives to monitor and improve the quality of teaching and assessment content and delivery. Where the retention figures throw up anomalies, Learner Support, Academic Directors and Faculty support come together to devise bespoke initiatives to target those anomalies. Additionally, semiannual Learning Reviews are carried out and presented by the Academic Dean and College President to Kaplan, where DBS reports on its measures of student success-Academic Performance, Student Satisfaction and Student Advancement-and initiatives to improve DBS's performance in those areas.

Completion

DBS defines completion as the percentage of students who successfully complete a programme on time or plus one year. Previously, DBS was unable to report accurately on programme completion due to system limitations. However, a completion reporting has been developed, tested and brought into use for programmes completed in the academic year 2021/2022. This data is now being tracked of completion of programmes commenced from the academic year 2015/16 for full-time undergraduates, part-time undergraduates, full-time postgraduate, part-time postgraduates and funded programmes (Springboard/ICT/HCI).



DBS Completion Rates

As with retention data, and the other mechanisms used to measure student success, completion rates feed into discipline and institute-wide initiatives to monitor and improve the quality of teaching and assessment content and delivery. Completion rates are captured on a programme level-basis, which allows for programme comparison within and across disciplines.

Student Feedback at DBS

Students have a major contribution to make in ensuring the quality of higher education and training provided in DBS. Regular and structured student feedback on their engagement with their studies and with broader College life, and on the quality and relevance of teaching, learning and other services, is important in contributing to this process. Students can also play an important role in influencing the design of curricula, and in reviewing and providing feedback on the use of these curricula.

Student representatives sit on various committees in DBS, including the Academic Board and Programme Boards.

Student feedback is gathered through a variety of mechanisms:

- Class Representative Meetings
- First Destination Survey
- Module Survey
- StudentSurvey.IE
- Focus Groups
- Compliments and Complaints.

Once student feedback has been gathered, a report is produced and circulated to the Academic Dean, Faculty Managers, Academic Directors, Faculty, Academic Board, Student Council and Board of Studies (as appropriate), which in turn feed into Programme Boards and other fora as necessary. Note: Not all feedback may find its way into a report but may be discussed and actioned at a local level. Student feedback and satisfaction is also discussed regularly at senior leadership team meetings.

7.0 Public Information and Communication

Public Information and Communication

The College recognises it is responsible for the accuracy of the information that it puts into the public domain. Therefore, it must ensure the validity of such information to ensure transparency with its stakeholders. The main platform that the College uses for such communication is its website www.dbs.ie

The website is the primary vehicle for the provision of information about the programmes and services of the College to potential students and other interested stakeholders. The website presents detailed information about the background to the College, its staff, mission, aims and values.

The site also includes information on all programmes, detailing modules, duration of programmes, NFQ level, entry requirements, fees and application procedures.

Provision of Information for Prospective Learners

Published information on programmes for learners includes:

- Programme and Award Title
- Accrediting Body
- Level and type of the award on NFQ
- Programme content
- Application process and entry requirements
- Fees
- Details of the arrangement for PEL in accordance with Section 65(4) of the 2012 Act

Examples of the College's main publications include:

- DBS Strategic Plan
- DBS Quality Assurance Handbook
- Programme Review and Validation Reports
- DBS Student Handbooks
- DBS Undergraduate Prospectus
- DBS Postgraduate Prospectus

Other platforms DBS use to disseminate information and updates, as follows:

Social Networking Sites

DBS communicates with the public across a number of social media platforms. The current social media channels that used on a regular basis include: Instagram, Facebook, LinkedIn and Twitter. Other channels including YouTube are used intermittently. A social media calendar is planned out by the Marketing and Admissions Department, detailing which channel will feature each communication and when it will be scheduled.

Social media is used for information such as notification of open evenings, information evenings and other events such as partner events. Any new courses or imminent application deadlines are communicated and relevant educational news from DBS or other educational bodies is also shared. Social media inboxes are monitored regularly so that queries connected to any communications are promptly followed up.

College e-Newsletter

Newsletters are e-mailed to all College contacts available through the database on a regular basis. This includes current and past students and staff, agents, contacts in employer agencies and relevant professional bodies and associations. The purpose of this e-newsletter is to maintain contact with all stakeholders and provide updates on College activities and achievements and developments in relation to programmes and services.

College Prospectuses and Marketing Materials

A number of prospectuses and publications are produced by the Marketing Department, containing all marketing materials relevant to the recruitment of new students into programmes. These are also hosted on the website as well as been distributed to prospective students at fairs, schools, open evenings, reception areas and by post, if requested.

College Open Days and Information Sessions

The College runs open days and information sessions at which potential students and other interested parties can meet with College staff and receive information about the programmes and services available and opportunities available through the College.

Participation in Conferences, and Educational Recruitment Fairs

The College participates in conferences and educational recruitment fairs locally and internationally to provide information to potential students and other interested parties about the programmes available and to promote the College.

School Visits

DBS has a dedicated School Liaison Officer who works closely with Career Guidance Teachers and schools in ensuring provision of correct information about the programmes on offer through the CAO system. A number of school visits are carried out annually around Ireland.

Corporate Development Manager

DBS has a dedicated Corporate Development manager who works with employers, the corporate sector and other stakeholders. They have responsibility for coordinating tenders through e-tenders.ie for programme development.

In respect of other information, the <u>DBS website</u> provides details on upcoming events, job vacancies, exam timetables (when applicable), policies and procedures related to quality assurance, staff and students and a wide variety of other information.

8.0 Monitoring and Periodic Review

Please refer to:

QAH Part A Section 2 Overarching Policies:

- o 2.2 Quality Assurance Policy
- 2.3 Self-Evaluation and Monitoring Policy
- o 2.4 Risk Management Policy
- o 2.5 Student Records and Data Retention Policy
- o 2.8 Learning Analytics Policy
- QAH Part B Section 6 Examination Boards and Awards Classifications:
- 6.1 External Monitoring of Programmes Policy

QAH Part C Section 2 Programme Development and Review

Dublin Business School's Self-Evaluation Principles

DBS's approach to Quality Assurance is informed by QQI's *Policy on Quality Assurance Guidelines* (QQI, 2016). In accordance with Section 4.4.1, *The Provider-Owner QA Principle*, DBS takes primary responsibility for the quality provision of educational programmes and assurance of quality therein. DBS also supports *The Externality Principle* (Section 4.4.6) that '*A provider-owned quality assurance system makes appropriate use of external persons who are independent of the provider and who are expertly qualified to make national and international comparisons*'. This principle is reflected in day-to-day operations through the contribution of External Examiners in the assessment of learners, and in governance through an external independent Chair of the Academic Board. External independent input is also a key part of the process for new programme validation, programme revalidation and institutional review.

- All programme validation activity is currently managed and overseen by QQI, with new programmes assessed by an independent panel of experts.
 - DBS has additional internal processes relating to programme validation prior to submission of a proposed programme to QQI, which includes approval of a proposed programme though the Programme Approval Sub-Committee and an independent panel review (i.e. mock panel event).
- DBS is responsible for the management of the processes for Programme Review, including the management of external evaluation and reporting, subject to agreed Terms of Reference with QQI, prior to application for Revalidation to QQI.
- In June 2021 DBS was approved by QQI for Devolved Responsibility for Validation of New Programmes, thus assuming responsibility for the management of external evaluation and reporting for new programmes, subject to approval and final sign-off by QQI.
- External evaluation of QA processes and procedures is undertaken by QQI through Institutional Review.

Changes to QA policies and procedures, where those changes can be made by DBS, are made via the Quality Enhancement and Risk Management Committee, and approved and ratified by the Senior Leadership Team and the Academic Board. Minor changes to programmes, where those changes do not impact on the Approved Programme Schedule, Programme Learning Outcomes, special

regulations or entry requirements, may be proposed and approved at meetings of the Board of Studies. All other changes require the approval via QQI, and may entail a Differential Validation or Programme Review.

Self-Evaluation and Monitoring

DBS's programmes are routinely monitored throughout the academic year and at the end of the academic year to ensure that:

- Programmes are progressing satisfactorily both operationally and academically.
- Teaching schemes are appropriate to facilitate the achievement of the learning outcomes of the module and programme.
- Assessment methods are appropriate to determine the achievement of the learning outcomes for the different modules and are distributed appropriately throughout the academic year.
- Academic staff remain current and relevant and engaged in scholarly activity and/or research as appropriate.
- Academic procedures are being followed.
- The suitability of existing programmes to meet the current and future needs of learners is assessed.
- The programmes remain current and relevant to employers.
- Future requirements for programmes, and hence future programme development, to allow the institution to continue to meet the needs of the learner, to encourage learner progression and to appeal to prospective learners in the future are identified.

Feedback is collected through a variety of mechanisms, to feed into Programme Boards, held three times per academic year. In addition to Programme Boards, Boards of Studies are held twice annually to review proposed changes to assessment and delivery, raised by the Programme Boards.

Reporting from these and other review mechanisms feed annually into the Academic Board, and subsequently into Programme Review events with QQI, and Institutional Reviews.

External Independent Experts

As described in Section A1.1 above, the DBS Board of Directors includes two independent nonexecutive directors, one with higher education experience and one with business experience. The Academic Board membership includes an independent Chair and independent academic member, both with significant experience in higher education.

Proposed External Examiners are nominated by members of the programme team and endorsed by the relevant Academic Director based on the criteria as indicated in the External Monitoring of Programmes Policy (*Quality Assurance Handbook*, Part B Section 6.1). The nominating Academic Director completes the form 'Application for Appointment of New External Examiner', which is accompanied by the candidate's Curriculum Vitae. This nomination is then reviewed/approved by the Academic Appointments Sub-Committee on behalf of the Academic Board. Conditions imposed by professional bodies, such as The Honourable Society of King's Inns, must also be met.

Criteria for the appointment of an External Examiner are set out in the *Quality Assurance Handbook*, Part B, Section 6.1.3.

The External Examiner reporting process entails four main review stages and checks:

- Exam Paper approval (in advance of exam sittings, a review of exam papers to ensure appropriate setting and standard for the academic level, and that they assess the module's Learning Outcomes)
- Assessment Sample review (following final assessments, a review of a sample of assessed material, both coursework and examination scripts, to determine whether the grading awarded is appropriate and valid, and the Learning Outcomes are being appropriately demonstrated in the assessment strategy)
- Exam Boards (attending the ratification process to observe and contribute to consideration of borderline awards, and have sight of the overall performance of modules and individuals in the larger context of their programmes and cohorts)
- Annual Reporting (following the final Exam Board of the academic year, a summary reflection and commentary on all assessments and material viewed, in addition to College processes and performance of facilitating external review and ratifying grades).

Programme Validation and Review

The process and underlying principles of Programme Validation and Review are set out in the *Quality Assurance Handbook* (Part C, Section 2.1 and 2.2 respectively).

The stages of a New Programme being proposed, reviewed, and submitted for validation are set out in the following diagram:

1. Initiation	 Initial discussions/market research/ potential employer engagement. Proposal Approval Form completed and submitted to Programme Approval Sub-Committee Proposal review and approved/refused by Programme Approval Sub-Committee
2. Programme Proposal Development	 Programme Development Team established Engagement with stakeholders and industry Programme Document prepared
3. Internal Review	 Internal Review by Registrar and Quality Assurance Officer Programme approved/not approved to proceed to external evaluation by Programme Approval Sub-Committee
4. DBS External Evaluation	 Review with external stakeholders, potential employers, and subject matter experts Post-review final Programme Document prepared Programme approved/refused by Programme Approval Sub- Committee
5. Submission and External Review QQI	•Submission to QQI •QQI Validation Panel event held •Panel Report and DBS Response to Panel Report
6. Approval of Programme	 Programme by approved/refused by the QQI Programme and Awards Executive Committee Certificate of Validation and Approved Programme Schedule provided by QQI to provider for validated programmes
7. Implementation	 Programme included in the CAO (where relevant) Programme Board Established

As noted above, in 2021 DBS gained approval from QQI for Devolved Responsibility for validation of new programmes within its scope of delivery. While the overall process remains the same, the Quality Assurance Handbook, Part C Section 2 sets out the distinct elements where Devolved Responsibility or the QQI-led validation applies. QQI-led validation remains in place where programmes constitute a change of scope and for other categories such as transnational delivery. In all cases, DBS submits a programme application, including Terms of Reference, to QQI before convening a panel, and approval is sought to proceed.

Programme Review similarly proceeds through a series of stages:

- Phase 1 Self-Evaluation Process (a self-evaluation of the existing delivery, and consideration of developing sectoral and industry factors and best practice and learnings from the earlier iteration(s) of the programme)
- Phase 2 External Evaluation and Reporting (review of the programme's reporting, and proposed delivery, by an Independent Review panel)
- Phase 3 Applying for Revalidation (submitting the earlier reporting and review findings to QQI).

9.0 Details of Arrangements with Third Parties

9.1 Arrangements with PRSBs, Awarding Bodies, QA Bodies

Type of arrangement	Total Number
PRSBs	6
Awarding bodies	3
QA bodies	1

1. Type of	PRSB
arrangement	
Name of body:	IAHIP (Irish Association of Humanistic & Integrative Psychotherapy)
Programme titles	Master of Arts in Psychotherapy
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24189
publications	Higher Diploma in Arts in Counselling & Psychotherapy
	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24185
Date of	30/11/2017
accreditation or	
last review	
Date of next	
review	

2. Type of	PRSB
arrangement	
Name of body:	APPI (Association for Psychoanalysis and Psychotherapy in Ireland)
Programme titles	Master in Arts in Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24065
publications	
Date of	29/07/2020
accreditation or	
last review	
Date of next	29/07/2025
review	

3. Type of	PRSB
arrangement	
Name of body:	PSI (Psychological Society of Ireland)

Programme titles	Bachelor of Arts (Hons) in Psychology
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24168
publications	Higher Diploma in Arts in Psychology
	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24169
Date of	12/12/2019
accreditation or	
last review	
Date of next	01/01/2025
review	

4. Type of	PRSB
arrangement	
Name of body:	IACP (Irish Association for Counselling and Psychotherapy)
Programme titles	Bachelor of Arts (Hons) in Counselling & Psychotherapy
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24188
publications	
Date of	13/12/2019
accreditation or	
last review	
Date of next	13/12/2025
review	

5. Type of	PRSB
arrangement	
Name of body:	Law Society, King's Inns
Programme titles	Bachelor of Laws (Honours)
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24186
publications	
Date of	15/12/2009
accreditation or	
last review	
Date of next	
review	

6. Type of	PRSB
arrangement	
Name of body:	Library Association of Ireland (LAI)

Programme titles	Master of Science in Information and Library Management
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24214
publications	Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Information and Library Management
	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24215
Date of	05/06/2019
accreditation or	
last review	
Date of next	
review	

7. Type of	QA body
arrangement	
Name of body:	ACELS (Accreditation and Coordination of English Language Services), QQI
Programme titles	Certificate in Business & English Language
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24180
publications	
Date of	28/02/2020
accreditation or	
last review	
Date of next	28/02/2022
review	

8. Type of	Awarding body
arrangement	
Name of body:	ACCA (Association of Chartered Certified Accountants)
Programme titles	Bachelor of Arts (Hons) in Accounting & Finance
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24166
publications	
Date of	31/01/2020
accreditation or	
last review	
Date of next	31/08/2024
review	

9. Type of arrangement	Awarding body
Name of body:	PMI (Project Management Institute)
Programme titles and links to	Advanced Diploma in Project Management
publications	https://www.dbs.ie/course/professional-evening-

	diploma/part-time-evening-diploma-in-advanced-project- management-dublin-business-school
Date of accreditation or last review	03/03/2022
Date of next review	02/03/2023

10. Type of arrangement	Awarding body
Name of body:	KPA (Kaplan Professional Awards)
Programme titles and links to	Diploma in Accounting & Finance
publications	https://kaplanpa.co.uk/docs/default-source/pdfs/kpa-level-
	4-diploma-in-accounting-and-finance-
	rqf.pdf?sfvrsn=f2bab25_4
Date of accreditation or last review	30/11/2022
Date of next review	30/06/2023

9.2 Collaborative Provision

Definitions:

QQI's Policy for Collaborative Programmes, Transnational Programmes, and Joint Awards, 2012 defines collaborative provision as a process that occurs where two or more providers are involved by formal agreement in the provision of a programme of higher education and training.

The Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act 2012 (as amended) defines 'joint award' as a single award made jointly by two or more awarding bodies

The Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act 2012 (as amended) defines 'linked provider' as a provider that is not a designated awarding body but enters into an arrangement with a designated awarding body under which arrangement the provider provides a programme of education and training that satisfies all or part of the prerequisites for an award of the designated awarding body.

Type of arrangement	Total Number
Joint research degrees	0
Joint/double/multiple awards	0
Collaborative programmes	5
Franchise programmes	0
Linked providers (DABs only)	0

1. Collaborative provision	Collaborative programme
Name of body (/bodies):	КРТМ
Programme titles	Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Accounting and Finance
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24166
publications	
Date of last	12/09/2019
review	
Date of next	31/08/2024
review	

2. Collaborative	Collaborative programme
provision	
Name of body	EU Business School
(/bodies):	

Programme titles	Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Business
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24166
publications	
Date of last	24/06/2021
review	
Date of next	31/08/2024
review	

3. Collaborative	Collaborative programme
provision	
Name of body	EU Business School
(/bodies):	
Programme titles	Master of Business Administration
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24549
publications	
Date of last	24/06/2021
review	
Date of next	31/08/2024
review	

4. Collaborative	Collaborative programme
provision	
Name of body	Sound Training College
(/bodies):	
Programme titles	Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Audio Production and Music Project
and links to	Management
publications	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG23892
Date of last	25/09/2018
review	
Date of next	31/08/2023
review	

5. Collaborative	Collaborative programme
provision	
Name of body	Sound Training College
(/bodies):	

Programme titles	Higher Certificate in Arts in Sound Engineering and Music Production
and links to	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG23890
publications	Certificate in Arts in Sound Engineering and Music Production
	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG23891
Date of last	25/09/2018
review	
Date of next	31/08/2023
review	

9.3 Articulation Agreements

Definition:

Per the IHEQN Guidelines for the Approval, Monitoring and Review of Collaborative and Transnational Provision, an articulation agreement may be defined as a process whereby all students who satisfy academic criteria on one programme are automatically entitled (on academic grounds) to be admitted with advance standing to a subsequent stage of a programme of a degree awarding body. These arrangements are subject to a formal agreement between the parties.

Articulation agreements - Total	98
number	

1. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	FH Aachen, Germany
Programme titles and links to	BSc in International Business Studies https://www.fh-
publications	aachen.de/en/course-of-study/international-business-
	studies-b-sc
Date of agreement/arrangement or	14/05/2019
last review	
Date of next review	31/08/2024
Detail of the agreement	FH Aachen is a public university in Germany. This RPL
	agreement allows students from this university to come to
	DBS with 120 ECTS credits and gain direct entry onto the
	final year of the level 8 BA (Hons) in Accounting & Finance
	degree. Their programme is three years duration and they
	will come to DBS after year two at their home university.
	The mapping between both programmes was prepared,
	outlining where the University's modules and credits map
	to DBS's modules and credits. The programme mapped
	sufficiently with no gaps in prior learning upon entry to
	year 3 at DBS.

2. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Daqing Normal University, China
Programme titles and links to publications	BA in Economic & Finance
Date of agreement/arrangement or last review	03/05/2019

Date of next review	31/08/2024
Detail of the agreement	Established in 1965, Daqing Normal University is a
	Higher-Education Institution located in the metropolis of
	Daqing, Heilongjiang. Officially recognized by the
	Department of Education of the Heilongjiang Province,
	Daqing Normal University is a medium-sized (uniRank
	enrollment range: 8,000-8,999 students) coeducational
	Chinese Higher Education Institution. Daqing Normal
	University offers courses and programmes leading to
	officially recognised higher education degrees such as
	bachelor degrees in several areas of study. This RPL
	agreement allows students from this university to join DBS
	with an equivalent of 60 ECTS credits and gain direct entry
	into year 2 of the following programmes: • BA (Hons)
	in Accounting & Finance · BA (Hons) in Financial
	Services The mapping between both programmes was
	prepared by the Academic Director outlining where the
	University's modules and credits map to DBS's modules
	and credits.

3. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	FAE Centro Universitie, Brazil
Programme titles and links to	Bachelor in International Business
publications	https://fae.edu/cursos/66724642/negocios+internacionais.htm
Date of agreement/arrangement or	16/04/2020
last review	
Date of next review	31/08/2024
Detail of the agreement	The FAE University Centre is a Higher Education Institution
	which is part of the Educational Group Bom Jesus. It offers
	more than 20 undergraduate courses across about 30
	specialization courses, five MBA programmes together with
	Executive Education and Distance Education. It is one of the
	most reputable Higher Education Institutions in Paraná, Brazil
	The mapping between both programmes was prepared,
	outlining where the University's modules and credits map to
	DBS's modules and credits. Students are permitted to join
	DBS in year 3 of the BA (Hons) in Business general and are
	only permitted to choose the following electives: - Human

Resource Development - Creativity, Innovation &
Entrepreneurship

4. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Euroaula
Programme titles and links to	Diploma in Marketing and Advertising
publications	https://www.euroaula.com/en/diploma-digital-marketing-
	advertising-barcelona
Date of agreement/arrangement or	01/05/2019
last review	
Date of next review	31/08/2024
Detail of the agreement	Established in 1987, it specialises in training for the Travel
	& Tourism Industry. It is affiliated with the University of
	Girona, with a recognised Bachelor's Degree in Tourism. It
	is recognised by the Department of Education of the
	Generalitat de Catalunya to teach higher education
	vocational training cycles. This RPL agreement allows
	students from Euroaula to join DBS with 120 ECTS and
	they are permitted to enter year 2 of the following
	programmes: • Bachelor of Business in
	Marketing, Level 7 - Year 3 • BA (Hons) in
	Marketing, Level 8 - Year 2 The mapping between both
	programmes was prepared outlining where the college's
	modules and credits map to DBS's modules and credits.

5. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Universidad Europea Del Atlantico
Programme titles and links to	BA in Psychology https://www.uneatlantico.es/en/faculty-
publications	of-health-sciences/degree-in-psychology
Date of agreement/arrangement or	21/11/2019
last review	
Date of next review	31/08/2024
Detail of the agreement	The European University of the Atlantic
	(UNEATLANTICO) is a higher learning institution that
	offers students a well-rounded education based on
	academic excellence and personal commitment. The
	University is an integral part of the Spanish university
	system and as such offers official degrees. The

educational model is classroom based and places
particular emphasis on information technology and
communication along with a practical orientation. This
learning model encourages student autonomy as well as
skill acquisition associated with professional fields. This
RPL agreement allows students from this university to join
DBS with 120 credits and they are permitted to entry year
3 of the following programme: BA (Hons) in Psychology,
Year 3 The mapping between both programmes was
prepared by the Academic Director outlining where the
University's modules and credits map to DBS's modules
and credits. As there is no psychoanalysis within the
Spanish degree, students in year 3 are not permitted to
choose psychoanalysis electives. They will also be
required to get additional coverage in Lab Report writing
and Quantitative Statistics. It could be suggested that they
take a level 7 Experiential Psychology which takes place
in Semester 1.

6. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Asia Metropolitan University, Malaysia
Programme titles and links to	BA (Hons) in Business Administration (Marketing major)
publications	https://www.amu.edu.my/bachelor-of-business-
	administration/
Date of agreement/arrangement or	30/11/2021
last review	
Date of next review	30/11/2026
Detail of the agreement	From their inception in 2004, AMU has grown into a fully
	fledged University offering a wide range of programmes
	which bears testimony to their impressive track record
	within the Malaysia private higher education industry.
	Students from this University who have completed 88
	Malaysian credits are eligible to join the final year (Year 3)
	of the BA (Hons) in Marketing (General Degree) at DBS.
	In year 3, students are only permitted to choose the
	following electives: - Creativity, Innovation &
	Entrepreneurship, AND - International Marketing
	The mapping between both programmes was prepared
	outlining where the college's modules and credits map to

DBS's modules and credits. This programme is standard
and is equivalent to a level 8 degree.

7. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Shenyang Normal University, China
Programme titles and links to	BSc (Hons) in Computing (Data Analytics)
publications	
Date of agreement/arrangement or	24/03/2021
last review	
Date of next review	31/12/2025
Detail of the agreement	Shenyang Normal University comprises of 18 colleges,
	divided into 30 departments, some of which include the
	Liaoning Provincial Basic Education Teaching Research
	Training Centre and College of Educational Science, the
	Science and Information Software College and the
	International Commercial College. This RPL agreement
	allows students coming from this University admission to
	year 3 or year 4 of the BSc (Hons) in Computing based on
	the information provided. Differences arise in the study of
	Web Development, Cloud Computing and Database
	Systems which DBS students will cover from year 1 or 2,
	but students in the partner institute would only cover in
	year 3 (with cloud computing not being part of their
	curriculum at any stage). However, this would not be an
	inhibitor to the success of these students joining DBS in
	year 3 as perhaps DBS could run support classes for
	these modules during the semester. If students are coming
	to DBS for year 4 only, they would be strongly advised to
	take the data science stream, and choose the following
	two modules: Data Mining & Big Data Analytics and Big
	Data: Achieving Scale, as these provide the closest match
	to their previous studies. For that reason, the software
	development stream would not as suitable for these
	students as they will not have the underpinning in Cloud
	computing and less exposure to web development. The
	mapping between both programmes was prepared by the
	Academic Director outlining where the University's
	modules and credits map to DBS's modules and credits.

8. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	MBA Business School, Canarias
Programme titles and links to	Bachelor of Business Administration
publications	https://cibs.es/en/bachelor
Date of agreement/arrangement or	05/05/2021
last review	
Date of next review	31/08/2024
Detail of the agreement	MBA Business School is a non-profit Foundation
	dedicated to development, training and research in the
	business world in Las Palmas de Gran Canaria. Founded
	in 1986, it is a Private Institution that offers Bachelor
	Degree programmes as well as programmes for
	executives (MBAs and other specialised programmes).
	They also offer summer campuses for business training for
	high school students as well as programmes for
	international companies and executives. With a markedly
	international vocation, their mission is to provide their
	students with versatile and up-to-date knowledge and
	skills in the business world that will allow them to develop
	as future leaders, managers and prestigious professionals.
	Students who have completed 120 credits of this
	programme will be eligible to join year 3 of the following
	programme: - BA (Hons) in Accounting & Finance

9. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	IPAG, France
Programme titles and links to	Masters in Management (3+2)
publications	https://www.ipag.edu/en/grande-ecole-
	programme?tab=onglet2
Date of agreement/arrangement or	13/10/2021
last review	
Date of next review	31/08/2024
Detail of the agreement	The IPAG Business School (formerly Institut de
	préparation à l'administration et à la gestion) is a French
	private business school founded in 1965. Their degree
	awarding programmes are delivered in both Paris and
	Nice, and students can also choose to study in California
	and Kunming in China. IPAG is a state-recognised school:
	As a not-for-profit institution, IPAG is EESPIG-accredited.

(Ministry of Higher Education and Research.) A res	pected
high profile business school, IPAG is noted for top-	tier
research. Since 2017, IPAG has been the 3rd rank	ed
French Business School for research in economics	and
finance according to the Shanghai rankings. IPAG	is also
a member of The Conférence des Grandes Écoles	(CGE).
Students will join DBS from IPAG with 120 credits	and will
be eligible to join the following: - BA (Hons)	in
Business (Law), Year 3 - BA (Hons) in Busine	ess
(HRM), Year 3 - BA (Hons) in Business (Gen	əral),
Year 3, however students are only permitted to cho	ose the
following electives in year 3: Human Resource	e
Development · Performance Management	
(Accounting) · Creativity, Innovation &	
Entrepreneurship · Contemporary Performance)
Management · Data Management & Analytics ·	
Corporate Law & Governances - Advanced Bus	iness &
Commercial Law	

10. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	HS Worms, Germany
Programme titles and links to	BA in International Management https://www.hs-
publications	worms.de/en/studyprogrammes/im-bachelor/
Date of agreement/arrangement or	02/02/2021
last review	
Date of next review	31/08/2024
Detail of the agreement	The University of Applied Sciences Worms is a public
	university located in Worms, Germany. It was founded in
	1978 and its predecessor in higher education dates back
	to 1949. The University of Applied Sciences Worms
	comprises faculties of business, tourism/transportation,
	and computer science. HS Worms is in the heart of the
	industry triangle with good job opportunities for students.
	There are approximately 3000 students, 3 faculties i.e.
	Computer Sciences, Tourism & Travel and Management &
	Business Administration. Some programmes are taught
	through English with 20% of their students being
	international. The University has excellent links with big
	business corporations. This RPL agreement allows

students from this university	to join DBS with 120 ECTS
credits and they are permitte	d to entry year 3 of the
following programme: -	BA (Hons) in Business
(Management)	

11. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH)
Programme titles and links to	BSc in Business & Information Technology
publications	https://www.iu.de/en/bachelor/business-and-it/
Date of agreement/arrangement or	13/04/2022
last review	
Date of next review	13/04/2027
Detail of the agreement	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH) first
	came into existence in 1998. Since receiving accreditation
	from the German Council of Science & Humanities, they
	have grown to be Germany's biggest University. It is a
	subsidised private school and is owned and run by a
	worker cooperative of 50 partners who receive funds from
	the regional Government of Andalusia to offer courses.
	Their missions is to create inclusive, global and wholly
	accessible education. They have been at the forefront of
	online learning or over a decade now. It is how they made
	it possible for students to learn from anywhere at any time
	using fully integrated digital platforms, innovative learning
	tools and virtual classrooms. This RPL agreement allows
	students from IUBH who have successfully completed the
	BSc in Business & Information Technology to join DBS
	with 120 credits and they are permitted to enter year 3 of
	the following programmes: A (Hons) in Business
	(Information Systems), Level 8 - Year 3 • BA (Hons) in
	Business (Cloud Computing), Level 8 - Year 3 The
	mapping between both programmes was prepared
	outlining where the college's modules and credits map to
	DBS's modules and credits. This programme is standard
	and is equivalent to a level 8 degree.

12. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH)

Programme titles and links to	BA in Business Administration
publications	https://www.iu.de/en/bachelor/business-administration-2/
Date of agreement/arrangement or	13/04/2022
last review	
Date of next review	13/04/2027
Detail of the agreement	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH) first
	came into existence in 1998. Since receiving accreditation
	from the German Council of Science & Humanities, they
	have grown to be Germany's biggest University. It is a
	subsidised private school and is owned and run by a
	worker cooperative of 50 partners who receive funds from
	the regional Government of Andalusia to offer courses.
	Their missions is to create inclusive, global and wholly
	accessible education. They have been at the forefront of
	online learning or over a decade now. It is how they made
	it possible for students to learn from anywhere at any time
	using fully integrated digital platforms, innovative learning
	tools and virtual classrooms. This RPL agreement allows
	students from IUBH who have successfully completed the
	BA in Business Administration to join DBS with 120 credits
	and they are permitted to enter year 3 of the following
	programmes: • BA (Hons) in Business (HRM), Level 8 -
	Year 3 • BA (Hons) in Business (Management), Level 8 -
	Year 3 • BA (Hons) in Business (General), Level 8 - Year
	3 For the Business (General) degree, students are only
	permitted to take the following electives in year 3: - Human
	Resource Development - Contemporary Performance
	Management - Creativity, Innovation & Entrepreneurship -
	Performance Management Accounting - Data
	Management & Analytics The mapping between both
	programmes was prepared outlining where the college's
	modules and credits map to DBS's modules and credits.
	This programme is standard and is equivalent to a level 8
	degree.

13. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH)
Programme titles and links to	BA in Digital Business
publications	https://www.iu.de/en/bachelor/digital-business/

Date of agreement/arrangement or	13/04/2022
last review	
Date of next review	13/04/2027
Detail of the agreement	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH) first
	came into existence in 1998. Since receiving accreditation
	from the German Council of Science & Humanities, they
	have grown to be Germany's biggest University. It is a
	subsidised private school and is owned and run by a
	worker cooperative of 50 partners who receive funds from
	the regional Government of Andalusia to offer courses.
	Their missions is to create inclusive, global and wholly
	accessible education. They have been at the forefront of
	online learning or over a decade now. It is how they made
	it possible for students to learn from anywhere at any time
	using fully integrated digital platforms, innovative learning
	tools and virtual classrooms. This RPL agreement allows
	students from IUBH who have successfully completed the
	BA in Digital Business to join DBS with 120 credits and
	they are permitted to enter year 3 of the following
	programmes: • BA (Hons) in Business (Information
	Systems), Level 8 - Year 3 • BA (Hons) in Business
	(Project Management), Level 8 - Year 3 • BA (Hons)
	in Business (General), Level 8 - Year 3 For the Business
	(General) degree, students are only permitted to take the
	following electives in year 3: - Project Planning
	Techniques - Project Management & Evaluation -
	Business Intelligence & Visualisation - Business
	Systems Analysis - Data Management & Analytics -
	Creativity, Innovation & Entrepreneurship The mapping
	between both programmes was prepared outlining where
	the college's modules and credits map to DBS's modules
	and credits. This programme is standard and is equivalent
	to a level 8 degree.

14. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH)
Programme titles and links to	BA in Entrepreneurship
publications	https://www.iu.de/en/bachelor/entrepreneurship/

Date of agreement/arrangement or	13/04/2022
last review	
Date of next review	13/04/2027
Detail of the agreement	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH) first
	came into existence in 1998. Since receiving accreditation
	from the German Council of Science & Humanities, they
	have grown to be Germany's biggest University. It is a
	subsidised private school and is owned and run by a
	worker cooperative of 50 partners who receive funds from
	the regional Government of Andalusia to offer courses.
	Their missions is to create inclusive, global and wholly
	accessible education. They have been at the forefront of
	online learning or over a decade now. It is how they made
	it possible for students to learn from anywhere at any time
	using fully integrated digital platforms, innovative learning
	tools and virtual classrooms. This RPL agreement allows
	students from IUBH who have successfully completed the
	BA in Entrepreneurship to join DBS with 120 credits and
	they are permitted to enter year 3 of the following
	programmes: • BA (Hons) in Business (Project
	Management), Level 8 - Year 3 • BA (Hons) in
	Business (General), Level 8 - Year 3 For the Business
	(General) degree, students are only permitted to take the
	following electives in year 3: - Project Planning
	Techniques - Project Management & Evaluation -
	Business Intelligence & Visualisation - Business
	Systems Analysis - Data Management & Analytics -
	Creativity, Innovation & Entrepreneurship The mapping
	between both programmes was prepared outlining where
	the college's modules and credits map to DBS's modules
	and credits. This programme is standard and is equivalent
	to a level 8 degree.

15. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH)
Programme titles and links to	BA in International Management
publications	https://www.iu.de/en/bachelor/international-management/
Date of agreement/arrangement or	13/04/2022
last review	

Date of next review	13/04/2027
Detail of the agreement	Internationale Hochschule Campus Studies (IUBH) first
	came into existence in 1998. Since receiving accreditation
	from the German Council of Science & Humanities, they
	have grown to be Germany's biggest University. It is a
	subsidised private school and is owned and run by a
	worker cooperative of 50 partners who receive funds from
	the regional Government of Andalusia to offer courses.
	Their missions is to create inclusive, global and wholly
	accessible education. They have been at the forefront of
	online learning or over a decade now. It is how they made
	it possible for students to learn from anywhere at any time
	using fully integrated digital platforms, innovative learning
	tools and virtual classrooms. This RPL agreement allows
	students from IUBH who have successfully completed the
	BA in International Management to join DBS with 120
	credits and they are permitted to enter year 3 of the
	following programmes: • BA (Hons) in Business
	(Management), Level 8 - Year 3 • BA (Hons) in
	Business (HRM), Level 8 - Year 3 • BA (Hons) in
	Business (General), Level 8 - Year 3 For the Business
	(General) degree, students are only permitted to take the
	following electives in year 3: - Human Resource
	Development - Contemporary Performance
	Management - Creativity, Innovation &
	Entrepreneurship - Performance Management
	Accounting - Data Management & Analytics The
	mapping between both programmes was prepared
	outlining where the college's modules and credits map to
	DBS's modules and credits. This programme is standard
	and is equivalent to a level 8 degree.

16. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Universita IULM, Milan
Programme titles and links to	BA in Corporate Communication & Public Relations
publications	https://www.iulm.it/en/offerta-formativa/corsi-di-lauree-
	triennali/comunicazione-impresa-relazioni-pubbliche
Date of agreement/arrangement or	13/04/2022
last review	

Date of next review	13/04/2027
Detail of the agreement	The University was founded in 1968 and from the outset,
	the inspiring idea was to satisfy the needs of the labour
	market based on the analysis of current trends. IULM
	focuses its attention on the study of languages, an
	essential condition for entering increasingly international
	contexts and markets. The mission of IULM University is
	to train professionals capable of tackling the challenges
	and seize the opportunities that emerge from international
	markets and scenarios, but it is also to shape men and
	women who are aware of themselves and their own worth.
	IULM guarantees its students a complete cultural
	background and solid preparation, a mix of theory and
	practical experience: an integrated approach that has
	always proved to be extremely useful for successfully
	entering the world of work and for fulfilling life as a person.
	This RPL agreement allows students from IULM who have
	successfully completed the BA in Corporate
	Communication & Public Relations to join DBS with 120
	credits and they are permitted to enter year 3 of the
	following programmes: • BA (Hons) in Marketing
	(General), Level 8 - Year 3 Students are only permitted to
	take the following electives in year 3: - Web
	Marketing Design & Development - Cloud
	Technology for Marketing The mapping between both
	programmes was prepared outlining where the college's
	modules and credits map to DBS's modules and credits.
	This programme is standard and is equivalent to a level 8
	degree.

17. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	International Business School (IBS), Hungary
Programme titles and links to	BSc in International Business Economics
publications	https://www.ibs-b.hu/en/programmes/bachelors-
	programmes/bsc-in-international-business-economics/
Date of agreement/arrangement or	13/04/2022
last review	
Date of next review	13/04/2027

Detail of the agreement	A privately-owned Higher Education Institution with
	degree-awarding powers at the bachelor's and master's
	levels in business, finance, and international relations
	since 1997. They currently offer bachelor's, master's and
	higher research degrees as validation-based collaborative
	provisions with The University of Buckingham. They
	acquired accreditation as an Independent Higher
	Education Institution from the British Accreditation Council
	for Independent Further and Higher Education (BAC) in
	2018 This RPL agreement allows students from IBS who
	have successfully completed the BSc in International
	Business Economics to join DBS with 120 credits and they
	are permitted to enter year 3 of the following programmes:
	• BA (Hons) in Business (Global Business), Level 8 -
	Year 3 The mapping between both programmes was
	prepared outlining where the college's modules and
	credits map to DBS's modules and credits. This
	programme is standard and is equivalent to a level 8
	degree.

18. Articulation agreement	Articulation agreement
Name of body (/bodies):	Kolej Poly-Tech Mara (KPTM)
Programme titles and links to	Diploma in Digital Marketing
publications	https://www.kptm.edu.my/en/component/content/article/106-
	program-ditawarkan/kptm-ipoh/441-diploma-in-digital-
	marketing-kptm-ipoh-en.html?Itemid=1145
Date of agreement/arrangement or	20/05/2022
last review	
Date of next review	20/05/2027
Detail of the agreement	Kolej Poly-Tech MARA (KPTM) formerly known as Kolej
	Yayasan Pelajaran MARA (KYPM) is a private higher
	institution established in September 2003 under the Private
	Higher Education Institution Act 1996 (Act 555). KPTM is
	managed by Kolej Poly-Tech MARA Sdn. Bhd., a wholly
	owned subsidiary of Majlis Amanah Rakyat (MARA). KPTM
	offers a wide range of educational opportunities in the field
	of Information Technology, Computer Science, Health
	Sciences, Social Sciences, Accounting, Business
	Management, Engineering, Humanities and Bio-Industry.

This RPL agreement allows students from KPTM who have
successfully completed the Diploma in Digital Marketing to
join DBS in year 2 of the following programmes: • BA
(Hons) in Marketing (General), Level 8 - Year 2 • BA
(Hons) in Marketing (Digital Media), Level 8 - Year 2 •
BA (Hons) in Marketing (Digital Media & Cloud Computing),
Level 8 - Year 2 • BA (Hons) in Marketing (Event
Management), Level 8 - Year 2 The mapping between both
programmes was prepared outlining where the college's
modules and credits map to DBS's modules and credits.
This programme is standard and is equivalent to a level 8
degree.

Dublin Business School 2023

Annual Quality Report (Dublin Business School) PART B: INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE ENHANCEMENT & IMPACT Reporting Period 2021-2022

PART B: INTERNAL QA SYSTEM

1.0 Quality Implementation and Developments

1.1 Strategic QA Updates

Applied Social Care

One of the most significant occurrences in the 2021/22 academic year related to our application to CORU for their approval of the DBS Applied Social Care programmes. Approval from CORU was not attained and a series of happenings occurred that led to the withdrawal of the programmes and the refund of fees paid to almost all students.

Background

DBS had two programmes in Applied Social Care validated by QQI in 2018/19. They were the

- Level 7 BA in Applied Social Care (3 years FT, 4 years PT), and
- Level 8 BA (Hons) in Applied Social Care (4 years FT).

The first three years of the Level 8 programme were the same as the full content of the Level 7. When the Level 7 programme was validated we also validated a one-year Level 8 programme which, at the time, we saw as a progression route for graduates of the Level 7. We subsequently decided to validate a four-year Level 8 ab initio programme and, as a consequence, retired the one-year Level 8 programme. We had not submitted the one-year Level 8 programme to CORU for their approval.

The programmes fall under the remit of the statutory body CORU for recognition of the protected title of Social Care Worker. As such, it is necessary for any programme to go through a recognition and approval process with CORU. As the CORU recognition process is evidence-based, programmes must have been running before an application can be made to CORU.

Eight four students had commenced the Level 7 and 8 programmes since they were validated. By the time this matter arose, ten had already completed the programme and the remainder were at different stages.

The core requirement for CORU recognition for Social Care programmes is a 3-year Level 7 programme. DBS sought approval for both its Level 7 and Level 8 programmes. DBS made an application to CORU to commence the process for recognition of the programmes in September 2021, with submission of the documentary evidence in February 2022, as per agreed timelines with CORU. Following feedback received from CORU, whereby issues identified by the CORU Review Team suggested that the application could not be successful, DBS withdrew its application pending further internal discussion on next steps to amend or redevelop the programmes. This was done in good faith with the intent of protecting the programmes from outright failure in the process, with no recourse.

Following this the students on the programme were informed and academic management started to work on options to put to students that would lead them to successfully become eligible for application to the register of social care workers when it would open.

Communicating with the students led to a significant wave of negative social media coverage which was very quickly picked up by mainstream media. The matter ultimately became political. Over a two week period media coverage continued and solutions as offered to the students to progress through other channels to the social care register were lost in a demand for fees to be refunded. It was agreed to refund the fees.

Actions and responses of CORU

As stated above, DBS agreed a timeline with CORU for the submission of its application for approval. That timeline was followed with the process commenced in September 2021 by an application to CORU. This was followed in February 2022 with a self-evaluation submission against the CORU criteria for social care programmes. CORU fed back to DBS in writing on 30th May 2022 and in a meeting with DBS on 10th June 2022 that, in effect, the programmes would not be approved by them. This was because of a mix of insufficient evidence provided to demonstrate compliance against some criteria and the view expressed by CORU that our programme construct, as it pertained to a mandatory placement, was not correct (in that there were insufficient credits applied to the placement). CORU indicated at that time that approval was very unlikely to be given and that we could consider, if we wished, to withdraw the application, change the programme and the submission document, and reapply again.

After the application was withdrawn, DBS management, headed by the President, met with CORU to explore options for our students. In this discussion CORU confirmed it was unable to facilitate alternative options for progression of learners as this would be outside its legislative remit. CORU's legislative remit was to approve or not approve programmes that produced graduates who wished to be eligible to apply for the register of social care workers, and in doing so ensure the protection of the public who engage with social care workers.

Actions and responses of QQI

In 2018 QQI had validated the Level 7 programme and in 2019 it validated the Level 8 programme. At the time a condition of the Level 7 programme was that it be mapped against the CORU criteria, which it was. Once this condition was satisfied the programme was approved by QQI. The panel for both programme validations was convened by QQI and they had two social care experts on the panel; one was an academic from an Irish public HEI and the other was a practitioner from a children's charity in Ireland.

Once this matter became public in August 2022 QQI contacted DBS to discuss the validation of the programmes. The discussion was robust as the DBS view is that this was not a matter of non-

compliance with programme validation. We operated a validated programme that had been approved by QQI, in full compliance with that validation. Ultimately DBS reached agreement with QQI that we would discontinue the programmes. In hindsight, it was a mistake to agree to do this as it closed off options for students and left those who had part-completed the course disadvantaged in terms of their options, unable to complete their award.

Once it was confirmed to QQI that the programmes were not continuing, their normal processes applied whereby the validation was subsequently ceased.

Progression routes for students

Formally, when a programme terminates before learners have finished, it triggers the PEL (Protection of Enrolled Learners) arrangement. In the case of DBS its PEL arrangement is for the fees most recently paid (as set out in legislation) to be returned to the learner. To facilitate this and to meet its legislative requirements, DBS has a financial bond with Kaplan Inc. In line with the values of DBS and Kaplan, we decided to return not just the fees most recently paid but, instead, to return all fees paid by all students, including those who had completed the course.

QQI endeavoured to find a solution to transfer students to another CORU-approved course and to have their credits achieved at DBS recognised. This proved not possible because at the time i) so few other programmes in Ireland had CORU approval and ii) none, other than one in Galway, had a process approved by CORU for the recognition of prior learning. For a small number of students who had done no more than approximately 60 credits at DBS (equivalent to one year full time) they were able to start again on an approved CORU programme at another college in Dublin. Ultimately the solutions provided to students are as follows,

- 16 started in 1st year on a new Social Care programme at another college
- 9 transferred to a different programme at DBS with as many credits as possible recognised
- All others were offered a refund of all the fees they had paid.

Analysis

Currently we are having an external review undertaken by an independent consultant. That person is John Vickery who is a former Registrar of an Irish public HEI. The main purpose of that review is to identify the root causes and other factors that contributed to the decision to withdraw the application for approval and to make recommendations for changes DBS should implement to ensure this or similar could not happen again.

In the meantime an internal analysis has been conducted to understand how this came about. We believe there are a number of factors that contributed to the matter relating to the Applied Social Care programmes.

 This is the first application for approval that DBS made to CORU. While the criteria were clear and the submission was made against the criteria, the quality of the submission made was not sufficient to meet the requirements of CORU. The internal processes that applied at the time as they related to professional bodies was insufficient and therefore did not challenge the quality of the submission. The people writing and reviewing the submission document leaned on their experience of the academic programme approval process and were not sufficiently versed or trained on the process for preparing and submitting statutory approval documents. CORU did offer guidance on how to complete a submission. However, the DBS staff found it technical in its nature and felt that it did not provide the more qualitative or formative assistance that would have been to the benefit of a new application for approval. Thus, we leaned on our own best understanding of what a submission to CORU should look like.

The points above arose because of custom and practice within DBS which places the responsibility for a professional relationship with discipline experts. We have professional relationships with bodies such as ACCA, CIPD, PMI, PSI, IAHIP, IACP and others, all of which have been managed successfully by members of the academic programme team. We applied the same approach to CORU, placing the responsibility for the relationship and the interactions with the programme team. This, however, was insufficient.

- 2. The submission made by DBS to CORU was not the only factor in this matter. Elements of the programme construct needed to be changed. CORU indicated that the credit weighting of the placement modules was too low, notwithstanding that the total number of hours attached to the placements were correct and met the CORU requirements. They also indicated that the learning outcomes of the placement modules do not demonstrate constructive alignment of the achievement of the standards of proficiency. These factors are more difficult to comprehend as the placement module, delivered in both stages 2 and 3, are exactly as set out in the programme document approved at validation. A condition of the Level 7 programme validation was that the programme be mapped against the CORU guidelines. This mapping was done. It satisfied the experts on the QQI panel and was subsequently approved by the QQI PAEC approval meeting. The subsequent identification by CORU of an issue with the construct of the programme was difficult to understand and accept. The matter has identified a weakness in the programme validation process where approval by a professional body is required in addition to QQI's approval of the programme. The fact that the programme could run for four years without a requirement for CORU to assess if it met its criteria has transpired as fatal to the programme. QQI have since stated to DBS that they will endeavour to work with CORU to prevent any future contradictory outcomes arising.
- 3. A further contributing factor is the fact that CORU does not review for approval a new programme; they only review, or accept an application, once students are on a programme. This meant the programme could not be reviewed in 2018, when the Level 7 was validated, or 2019 when the first intake started. The earliest it could have been reviewed was 2020 and, in that year, CORU did not review any applications. The first engagement with DBS about making an application was March 2021. This meant that even if we had reached the same result as in 2022, we would have been managing a solution to the matter a year earlier than we did and the matter would have impacted about 40% fewer students (34 less). Further, CORU indicated clearly to us when we met them in early June that they believed we could not either provide sufficient evidence or make the structural changes to the programme within the time window available to us, which closed on 30th June 2022.

At the time of writing, the matter overall continues. It has been a significant challenge for the College to deal with and one that was not identified in the risk register prepared for 2022.


Changes required to mitigate a similar future risk

The formal review being done by the external consultant is not yet complete and when it is, will make recommendations. Already, however, our own internal analysis has identified changes that need to be made, as follows.

1. The relationship with professional bodies has always been managed at the discipline level. This will now change whereby all relationships will be managed at the institutional

level. In practice this means that the owner of the relationship will be from the Registrar's Office and all formal communication, including the submission of request for approval or retention of approval, will be managed through the Registrar's Office. This will likely need an increase in resourcing of the Registrar's Office and that is being worked through at the moment with the Registrar

2. A new approval process will be implemented internally to sign off on submissions to professional bodies. The following flow diagram outlines the steps and the key elements required to provide a more rigorous review internally followed by the convening of an external panel of experts to assess the suitability of the submission. This has been approved by the DBS Academic Board.



DBS Strategic Plan

The DBS Strategic Plan for 2021-2025 was developed in consultation with the SLT over the period from January to July 2021; the full strategic plan and objectives are accessible on this <u>link</u>. Arising from the update strategy a number of projects were identified, work upon which is on-going, and where relevant are captured later in this report in the Objectives sections. These are listed below.

No.	Project	Description
SP1	Alumni	Develop a plan to engage with alumni to i) increase placement and employer opportunities for learners and ii) to sell additional products to them
SP2	Programme Strategy	 Prepare an academic programme strategy and plan covering the next 3 years to include i) an outline skills matrix to guide what we will develop, ii) incorporate WBL into programmes as standard, iii) incorporate environment/sustainability into programmes and
		iv) incorporate the values of good citizenship.
SP3	Careers Service	Define the "award-winning careers service" we want to have in place and prepare a plan to develop it, including the measures of its success, i.e. graduate outcome rates
SP4	Academic Delivery	Define and implement a new agile academic deli very resource with the skills and competencies to meet the needs of the future multi-modal delivery. Use this work as the basis of creating the desired faculty culture

SP5	Student Wellbeing	Establish a health and wellbeing service for students to	
		incorporate the disability service previously managed through the Academic Information & Resource Centre	
SP6	International Student Service	Develop a support proposition for international students taking account of their requirements arising from now living in a different country and learning in a different academic culture	
SP7	Professional Academy	Develop a professional training business to take the opportunity for short courses and bespoke programmes aimed at individuals and businesses	
SP8	Customer Service	Develop a customer service plan and charter covering all areas of the College that interface with students, customers and the public. In parallel, review and improve processes both within department and across departments so as we can establish credible targets that we are confident we will meet	
SP9	Student Feedback	Prepare a more integrated and effective plan to seek feedback from students, analyse it, distribute it to the areas where action is necessary and monitor the implementation of actions	
SP10	Internal Communications	Develop and implement an effective internal communications plan so as all staff are appropriately informed and have the opportunity to provide feedback locally and corporately	
SP11	Environment	Build on the work of the sustainable environment group to create a sustainable environment policy and plan to i) reduce the carbon footprint of the College and ii) promote that face	
SP12	Digital Capacity	Further expand our digital capacity for teaching and learning, and customer service. Expand the use of digital technology in teaching and enable automated solutions to service where appropriate, building on the theme that the learner or customer can access "however and wherever" they wish	
SP13	Business Incubator	Launch and sustain a business incubator unit and leverage this in programmes to enhance innovation and develop entrepreneurial skills amongst students	
SP14	Recognition	Achieve formal reputable recognition for the College which can be used to promote the brand and our programmes	
SP15	Overseas Delivery	Pursue articulation agreements, international collaborations and transnational validation relationships to increase international business in-country as well as in Ireland	
SP16	Cybersecurity	Review our IT networks and systems for vulnerabilities and take steps as necessary to minimise the risk associated with a ransomware attack	
SP17	Financial Governance & Audit	Ensure the highest level of financial governance at DBS through audit and periodic checks to ensure compliance with government contracts and Kaplan/GHCO policies	
SP18	Academic Standards	Establish a means of measuring and evaluating our academic standards and outcomes in the development, delivery and assessment of programmes to enable robust benchmarking internally and externally, and identify areas for improvement that will enhance our academic standards.	

Terms of Reference for each project were developed by the responsible member of the SLT, with agreed timelines.

At its meeting in September 2021 the Board of DBS approved the revised strategy, presented by the President.

Membership of Board of Directors

As per DBS's previous AQR submission, the desire for additional independent expertise on the Board of Directors was identified as part of an independent review in 2020. In the academic year 2021/2022 this was progressed and in September 2022 Audrey O'Sullivan was appointed as a Non-executive Director. Audrey currently works as Legal Director at SIRO, the joint venture company of ESB and Vodafone. She graduated from UCD with both a Bachelors and Masters degree,

Delegated Authority

A key strategic focus for DBS is to attain Delegated Authority from QQI. In March 2021 DBS assembled a project team and Project Board and initiated a plan to work towards readiness for an early application for Delegated Authority. The exercise involved internal mapping and audit against the QQI Criteria for Delegated Authority as well as an independent review of professional service areas (i.e. all non-academic departments) in the College, carried out by BDO from June 2021 to January 2022. A panel of external experts was then assembled to conduct a mock panel review and provide feedback.

1.2 Update on Planned QA Objectives identified in Previous AQR

No. ²	Planned objectives (Previous AQR)	Update on Status
	Note: Include reference to the relevant section of the preceding AQR, where applicable	Provide brief update on status, whether completed or in progress. If an action was planned in the previous AQR, but not completed, provide reasons/short reflections for the delay/non-completion.
2019-1	Articulate a rationale for the proposed committee structure.	Completed at time of Re-Engagement Response. However, this will be continuously kept under review to ensure committee structures remain fit-for-purpose. A review of the Boards and Committees structure was initiated in 2021 through a paper presented to the Academic Board in December 2021 by the independent Chair. A working group of the Academic Board was convened to review the committee structures and their Terms of Reference. This work was on-going into the academic year 2022/23.
2019-2	Consider the appointment of Programme Board Chairs who would report to the Course Directors	Senior Lecturers were appointed. This has been superseded by further appointments in Academic Management in late 2021 and through 2022 into 2023. In 2021 the role of Course Director was replaced with Academic Directors in each area and a role of Assistant Academic Director was created.
2019-3	Ensure that the changes made to governance documentation to address the Panel's proposed mandatory change are consistently represented throughout the College's QA documentation.	Completed at time of the Re-Engagement Response.
2019-4	Review quality assurance documentation for any references to legacy language	Completed at time of the Re-Engagement Response.
2019-5	Continue to plan towards formal accreditation of all offerings, prioritizing programmes of one year's duration.	In 2020 DBS validated 3 awards as Kaplan Professional Awards and in January 2021 successfully completed the process for Centre Approval with Kaplan to manage and deliver assessments for these programmes. A review of Professional Diplomas was carried out in 2020 using an external consultant from another HEI. Validation of two microcredentials was successfully carried out with QQI in Q4 of 2020 and three more were submitted to QQI in February 2021. Further accreditation of unaccredited offerings will be pursued where an accreditation process suited to the purpose of the offering is available. This will contribute to the strategy of creating a more formal structure to the

² Number convention here is a numbered sequence for the Strategic Objective for each year.

No. ²	Planned objectives (Previous AQR)	Update on Status
		professional programme portfolio scheduled to be launched in September 2023.
2019-6	Ensure that qualifications recognised for advanced entry – accredited or otherwise – and including in articulation contexts, are subject or rigorous approval and continuous review.	Oversight of admissions is on-going through the Admissions Committee.
2019-7	Further consider how the College's use of completion rates can increase the effectiveness and strategic capability of its quality assurance system	On-going. Completion rates are now tracked and reported on in the Annual Retention Reports. Programme completion has been captured for intakes from 2015/16 for undergraduate and postgraduate full-time and part- time and separately for Springboard/funded programmes. DBS continues to monitor both learner outcomes and feedback closely.
2019-8	Include further information for learners, in the College's offer letter and in its Learner Handbook, on the implications of the lack of recognition on the NFQ of its unaccredited programmes, including the extent of exemptions available for learners wishing to subsequently pursue accredited degrees at DBS	Completed at time of the Re-Engagement Response.
2019-9	Ensure that agreements with other parties reflect the grievance, appeals, and disciplinary procedures that apply to these arrangements.	On-going. Collaborative provision is subject to DBS Quality Assurance policies and procedures.
2021-1	Devolved Responsibility application	Approved June 2021.
		On-going. A large body of work and a number of key milestones have been met on this project.
2021-2	Readiness for DA/institutional review	 An independent external review was carried out by BDO, commencing in 2021, with the purpose of determining if the central office functions are working to support the academic purpose of the College. A mock panel was held in mid-2022 with experts who reviewed a draft submission and supporting evidence relative to the criteria (2016) currently available. A project team, comprising many of the senior leadership of the College, is progressing a detailed plan to guide us through actions that will lead us to a successful application for DA when it becomes possible.
2021-3	T&L staff training and development	On-going. The former EdTech Team has been formalised into a Learning Unit in 2022, comprised of an Educational

No. ²	Planned objectives (Previous AQR)	Update on Status
2021-4	Online proctoring	Developer, Senior Educational Developer, and Learning Technologist. The team develops and supports CPD opportunities for staff. Pilots of online proctoring were successfully carried out in previous examination cycles. Selected 'high stakes' exams were deployed in the online proctoring platform for summer exams in the academic year 2021/22.January and April 2021, in that exams for two cohorts of Masters students (September and January intakes across all programmes) were deployed with most students successfully completing exams via the proctoring platform, although a 'light touch' approach was taken with minimal security features utilised in order to minimise potential technical difficulties. The project has now progressed and was implemented in full for the January 2023 exams. The intension is to continue this through the remaining exams
2021-5	RPL Review	in 2023. On-going. Review of Admissions articulation agreements completed 2021. This will be revisited as part of an additional audit function in the Registrar's Office under a second Assistant Registrar role that was created in 2022, and change in Admissions structures in the academic year 2022/23.
2021-6	Review of strategic plan	Complete. Updated plan published 2021.
2021-7	Appointment of a second independent director to the governing Board	Complete. Appointment made September 2022.
2021-8	Academic Programmes Annual Programme Reporting evaluation	Completed; Annual Programme Reports are now established. The first reports were developed and presented in 2021 and again in 2022. Now that there have been two cycles of reporting, the Academic Dean is currently looking at the structure of the reports with the Registrar to ensure they are optimal and fit-for-purpose in terms of capturing sufficient quantitative and qualitative data to evaluate the programmes while optimising efficiency for the teams in generating the reports.
2021-9	Postgraduate academic writing support – future enhancement based on findings and review of pilot	On-going. Supports have been rolled out for cohorts from September 2020. Adjustments have been made to the delivery for each intake to respond to feedback, engagement and emerging needs. The Academic Information and Resource Centre created a report on delivery to date and has collated data which is currently being analysed with a view to updating the programme from September 2023 based on learnings from delivery so far.

No. ²	Planned objectives (Previous AQR)	Update on Status
2021-10	Pilot of postgraduate academic learner support in computing	Commenced September 2021. As above this is monitored and adjusted according to any emerging needs. Data and feedback will be gathered through the academic year and refinements made accordingly.
2021-11	DBS review professional body possibilities for relationships and exemptions	On-going. This is included as a consideration for all new Programme development. DBS received CIPD centre and programme accreditation approval for the MSc in HRM in March 2022.
2021-12	Academic Programmes Development to include Graduate Skills Map/Matrices – i.e. what does a DBS graduate look like?	All new validations and re-validations going forward will include this. A set of Programme Development Principles approved by the Senior Leadership Team in February 2022 specifically address this.
2021-13	Academic Programmes Assessment Review	On-going. Principles of Assessment and an updated policy on Marking Feedback and Moderation was developed through a Working Group in 2021 and presented to the Learning, Teaching and Assessment Committee at several meetings for comment and feedback. The final principles and policy were presented for agreement at the SLT meeting on 8 February 2022 and Academic Board on 14 February 2022. However further work on assessment best practice is required and will be part of actions for the 2022 into 2023 year.
2021-14	Industry Advisory Boards established in Arts and in Health Sciences/reconstituted in A&F and Computing	Industry Advisory Boards are now established in all areas other than Creative Media.
2021-15	Study Abroad and partner review	A review of options for Study Abroad students was completed for September 2021.
2022-1	Strategic Project 2: Programme Strategy (arising from the refresh of the DBS Strategic Plan 2021-2025)	Preparation of an academic programme strategy and plan to include i) an outline skills matrix to guide what we will develop, ii) incorporate work-based learning into programmes as standard, iii) incorporate environment/sustainability into programmes and iv) incorporate the values of good citizenship. Responsibility for this sits with the Academic Dean and work is on-going. It is intended to have a Programme Strategy approved by the end of Q2 in 2023.
2022-2	Strategic Project 4: Academic Delivery (arising from the refresh of the DBS Strategic Plan 2021-2025)	On-going. Actions were implemented from 2021/22 with a new Academic Management team put in place, and as described elsewhere the creation of the Academic Director and Assistant Academic Director roles. A number of new

No. ²	Planned objectives (Previous AQR)	Update on Status
		appointments have been made with additional posts still being filled.
2022-3	Strategic Project 12: Digital Capacity (arising from the refresh of the DBS Strategic Plan 2021-2025)	Expansion of digital capacity for teaching and learning, and customer service. Expand the use of digital technology in teaching and enable automated solutions to service where appropriate, building on the theme that the learner or customer can access 'however and wherever' they wish. Responsibility for this sits with a working group comprised of heads of departments, Academic Directors and a student representative. Timeline: Actions implemented from 2021/22 and ongoing as technology develops and pedagogy evolves.
2022-4	Strategic Project 18: Academic Standards (arising from the refresh of the DBS Strategic Plan 2021-2025)	Establish a means of measuring and evaluating academic standards and outcomes in the development, delivery and assessment of programmes to enable robust benchmarking internally and externally, and identify areas for improvement that will enhance our academic standards. Responsibility sits with the Registrar's Office with a working group comprised of all academic-facing heads of department. It was planned to convene a Working Group on this by Q3 of 2022 but this was postponed. This project requires review as it may have been superseded at least in part by other activities. The Registrar and Academic Dean will revisit this is Q2 of 2023.
2022-5	Consolidation and alignment of all academic student support functions , including bringing together the work of SESU, LT&A Unit and AIRC	This has been completed through the creation of an Academic Support Community to bring this work together.
2022-6	Discipline-based CPD	Work on this is on-going. From January 2023, the intention is for each Academic Director to have a discipline plan for each calendar year in January.
2022-7	Review of assessment policy and practice	Complete. An updated Marking and Feedback policy was submitted to Academic Board for approval in February 2022.
2022-8	Review of Learner Charter	Complete. The Charter was circulated for consultation in Q4 2021 into Q2 2022, for final ratification at Academic Board in 2022.
2022-9	Student Success Project	 SESU is undertaking an exercise to define student success. The aim is to have a DBS definition of student success that includes 'metrics' so it can be determined if a programme is successful or not. Timeline: The first draft has already been circulated for consultation with Academic Directors. The aim is to have a

No. ²	Planned objectives (Previous AQR)	Update on Status
		definition of student success ratified by the Academic Board in Q2 2022.
2022-10	Review of Boards and Committees	The basis for a Committee Review was presented to Academic Board in December 2021 by the independent Chair, for feedback and the formation of a Working Group in February 2022. The Working Group met on a number of occasions in 2022 and benchmarked and mapped existing structures to proposed aligned structures, developing Terms of Reference. The structure and Terms of Reference were approved at the Academic Board in December 2022 and work is on-going to transition in 2022/23. It is noted for the purposes of this AQR that in reviewing the committee structure none of the existing governance or functionality has been lost. An additional layer of governance has been put in place under the Academic Board to further strengthen governance and oversight.
2022-11	Academic Plan	The Academic Plan was presented to the Academic Board on 15- February 2022, for feedback and commentary, with approval at the Board in April 2022. The plan was further reviewed in January/February 2023. The next version of the plan will be prepared in advance of the 2023/24 academic year.
2022-12	Research Activity Enhancement	 A new role of Research Practice Coordinator was established in February 2021 in order to: Improve the reliability of the data behind the research profile; Illustrate a measurable increase in research outputs; Work with Academic Directors to define a research strategy for their disciplines; Conclude and publish an updated research section of the Intranet; Continue to publish the newsletter bi-monthly but as a gateway into the Intranet; Stage an online Practice and Applied Research Conference (PARC) in May 2022; Define a research strategy for DBS with the Research Committee Work on this is on-going, with goals set out for 2023.
2022-13	Readiness for DA and Institutional Review	As set out elsewhere in this report, DBS continues to work towards this. A Working Group was constituted in March 2021 to map out requirements against the QQI, Professional Services Review conducted between September 2021-February 2022, Mock Panel May 2022. Ongoing improvement plan being led by an

No. ²	Planned objectives (Previous AQR)	Update on Status
		operational group and overseen by the DA Committee of
		the Board.

1.3 Governance and Management

1.3.1 QA Governance Meetings Schedule


Body	Meeting dates
Board of Directors	4 November 2021 22 April 2021 21 July 2021 1 September 2021 4 November 2021 13 April 2022 11 August 2022 24 November 2022
Academic Board	5 October 2021 7 December 2021 15 February 2022 19 April 2022 21 June 2022 11 October 2022
Board of Studies	26 November 2021 29 April 2022 2 September 2022 (extraordinary)
Programme Boards	Law: 18 March 2021 18 November 2021 12 April 2022 Business: 23 March 2021 22 November 2021 13 April 2022 Marketing: 23 March 2021 23 March 2021 23 March 2021 23 November 2021 12 April 2022 Computing: 19 February 2021 22 November 2021 28 February 2022 Accounting & Finance: 24 March 2021 25 April 2022 Human and Social Sciences 23 March 2021 23 March 2021 14 December 2021 14 December 2021

Quality Enhancement and Risk Management Committee	Arts, Languages and Study Abroad: • 31 March 2021 • 23 November 2021 • 3 March 2022 10 September 2021 1 November 2021 9 December 2021 20 January 2022 22 March 2022 30 May 2022 4 August 2022 9 September 2022
Exam Boards	 11 August 2020 (January intake UG Internal) 28 August 2020 (UG Internal) 3 September 2020 (UG External) 14 September 2021 (January intake PG Internal) 21 September 2021 (January intake PG External) 18 October 2021 (September intake PG Grad Internal) 22 October 2021 (September intake PG Grad External) 2 February 2022 (Internal) 9 February 2022 (External) 20 May 2022 (PG Proceed Internal) 25 May 2022 (Internal) 16 June 2022 (Internal) 17 June 2022 (Internal) 23 June 2022 (External) 24 June 2022 (Internal) 14 September 2022 (Internal) 14 September 2022 (Internal) 14 September 2022 (PG Proceed Internal) 21 September 2022 (PG Proceed Internal) 22 June 2022 (Internal) 23 June 2022 (External) 24 June 2022 (Internal) 25 August 2022 (Internal) 26 August 2022 (Internal) 27 September 2022 (PG Proceed Internal) 28 August 2022 (Internal) 29 September 2022 (PG Proceed Internal) 20 September 2022 (PG Proceed Internal) 21 September 2022 (PG Proceed External)

1.3.2 QA Leadership and Management Structural Developments

On 1st January 2022 the post of Assistant Registrar - validations was created and filled internally. This post replaced the post of Validation and Accreditation Manager that had operated previously. The Assistant Registrar post reports to the Registrar to assist with all aspects of the validation process for new and reviewed programmes, as well as assist with preparation for an application for delegated authority from QQI.

Other than this change there have been no other fundamental structural changes in the 2021/22 academic year. Some vacant posts were filled and new additional posts at certain levels were created to increase the resource available for certain functions. The addition of new resources was also influenced by the work of the Academic Delivery Working Group (ADWG) which concluded its work during 2021/22. In summary, and arising directly from the workings of the ADWG, by the end of the 2021/22 academic year the following academic leadership and management structure was in place.



1.4 Internal Monitoring and Review 1.4.1 Overview of Periodic Reviews

Unit of review for which report has been published during reporting period	Date of completion/reason for conducting review (if not planned) or non-completion (if planned but not conducted)	Links to relevant publications
MSc in Financial Technology (PG23852)	July 2022	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24859
Higher Diploma in Science in Financial Technology (PG23577)	July 2022	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24858
Certificate in Fund Accounting (PG23231)	July 2022	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24857
Diploma in Big Data for Business (PG23239)	July 2022	https://qsearch.qqi.ie/WebPart/ProgrammeDetails?programmeCode=PG24856
Cert in Risk & Financial Analysis (PG23236)	January 2022 (for closure)	N/A
Cert in Cloud Platform Development (PG23229)	January 2022 (for closure)	N/A
BA (Hons) in Applied Social Care (PG23251)	January 2022 (for closure)	N/A
Functional Reviews of all Professional Services Departments	January 2022	N/A
Institutional Review Preparation (alongside Delegated Authority Preparation)	On-going through 2022	N/A

1.4.2 Expert Review Teams/Panels3 involved in IQA

(i) Expert Review Team/Panel Size and Related Processes

	Total	Academic Schools/ Department	Professional Services/Support Unit	Approval/Review of Linked Provider	Programme Approval	Programme Review	Other
Number of review/ evaluation processes	11		1		6	4	
of those:							
On-site processes	0						
Desk reviews	0				2		
Virtual processes	11				6	4	
Average panel size for each process type*	6						

* excluding secretary if not a full panel member

³ QQI acknowledges that the terminology used to describe the groups of individuals that conduct peer review/evaluation varies from institution to institution.

(ii) Composition of Expert Review Teams/Panels involved in IQA

	Total	Gender			Internal	National	Internatio	onal		Institution Ty	ре
Type of Expert/ Role on Panel		Male	Female	Other, or unspecified			UK, incl. NI	Other European	Outside of Europe	Similar	Different
Chair	8	4	4			8				1	/
Secretary	8	1	7			8				1	/
Academic/Discipline Specific	17	9	8			10	7			2	15
Student Representative	8	1	7			8				5	3
QA											
Teaching & Learning	1		1			1					1
External Industry /Third Mission	6	5	1			6					6

2.0 IQA System – Enhancement and Impacts

Policy Updates

New and updated Policies added to the Quality Assurance Handbook are outlined below. All are approved through Academic Board and the Senior Leadership Team. Changes to the Handbook are recorded in the <u>QAH</u> Change Tracker.

New Policies:

- Acceptable Usage Policy (QAH B.2.8)
- Feedback, Marking and Moderation Policy (QAH B.5.11)

Updated Policies

Date of change	Subsection	Classification of change	Summary	Explanation
11/11/2021	C.2.1.6 New Programme development	Updates	Updates to Phase 5 in table	With Devolved Responsibility granted by QQI, slight functional shift in formation of QQI panel.
11/11/2021	B.3.2 Learner Code of Conduct	Updates	Addition of reference to the online learning environment	From March 2020, the move of more teaching, learning and assessment to online platforms requires recognition in the Code of Conduct guidance.
11/11/2021	B.3.3 Academic Impropriety Policy	Updates	Addition of clarity for some steps in the investigation process	Improved clarity for academic staff and learners.
11/11/2021	B.3.3.11 Academic Impropriety Policy	Updates	Addition of subsection with link to the required form	Additional Document linkage had been missing, added for clarity and accessibility.
11/11/2021	B.3.3 Academic Impropriety Policy	Correction	Amendment of 'Urkund' to 'Ouriginal'	The Urkund organisation was incorporated into a new entity, called Ourignal, although both names can be used in different documents.
15/11/2021	B.3.4 Disciplinary Policy	Amendments	Amendment to steps in the process of Disciplinary investigation.	Amendments required in line with the move to more online mechanisms, and to extend the ability of the investigating manager to undertake the necessary review.
16/11/2021	C.1.3.7 Research Ethical Guidelines	Amendments	Amendments to align with Ethical Guidelines for Research with Human Participants	Updates to the Ethical Guidelines, with corrected links and guidance and updated forms, requiring matching updates in the policy
29/11/2021	B.2.7.2 Digital Wellbeing Policy	Amendments	Additional clarity given for online behaviour	Increased delivery of teaching, assessment and learning through the online environment requiring more explicit detail to be set out around online conduct.

Date of	Outrastica	Classification	0	Factoria
change	Subsection	of change	Summary	Explanation
29/11/2021	B.2.8 Acceptable Usage Policy	New Policy	New Policy	The increased use of online platforms requiring the extended specification of Acceptable Usage for learners (staff are already subject to the College's employee Acceptable Usage policies)
29/11/2021	B.2.2 Premises & Facilities	Amendments	Addition of cross- reference to Code of Conduct and new Acceptable Usage Policy	Cross-reference required to new Learner Acceptable Usage policy.
29/11/2021	B.2.7.2 Digital Wellbeing Policy	Amendments	Addition of cross- reference to new Acceptable Usage Policy	Cross-reference required to new Learner Acceptable Usage policy.
29/11/2021	B.3.2.5 Learner Code of Conduct Policy	Amendments	Addition of Penalties for Major Offenses for misuse of College facilities or services.	Cross-reference required to new Learner Acceptable Usage policy.
29/11/2021	B.3.2.7 Online Code of Conduct	Amendments	Addition of cross- reference to new Acceptable Usage Policy	Cross-reference required to new Learner Acceptable Usage policy.
03/12/2021	C.1.1.3 Approval of Teaching Staff	Updates	Update of recommendations the AASC may make.	New Faculty Pathway introduced set out a variant version of conditions for new faculty appointments, replacement required of original conditions with new Pathways.
07/12/2021	B.2.1.4 Student website	Updates	Update of Registrar section, to Quality Assurance and Academic Operations	Restructure of information breakdown under the 'Registrar' tab to separate Academic Operations and Quality Assurance sections, into their respective functional and QA sections.
08/12/2021	C.1.5.3 DBS Strategic Plan	Updates	Updated link to Strategic Plan	Introduction of 2021 Strategic Plan, updated cross- reference required
08/12/2021	C.1.5.3 Panopto Privacy Policy	Amendment	Removal of cross- reference	Cessation of use of Panopto in the College
08/12/2021	A.1.3.12 Terms of Reference for Library Committee	Amendment	Addition of members and removal of defunct role	Replacement of the Deputy Librarian in the team requires removal from Terms of Reference, and addition of Information Literacy Manager and Reader Services Manager roles added.
08/12/2021	A.1.3.2 Academic Board Terms of Reference	Updates	Q1 meeting updated from March to February, addition of April meeting	Academic Board meeting in Q1 determined to be more appropriate in February than in March, functional need for additional meeting in April

Date of	Subsection	Classification	Summary	Explanation
change 08/12/2021	A.1.2.3 Calendar of Committee meetings	of change Updates	Updated move of Academic Board and QERMC from March	Academic Board meeting in Q1 determined to be more appropriate in February than
			to February, and addition of April; clarity of Board of Directors meetings	in March, and additional meeting in April, consequent impact on QERMC
08/12/2021	A.1.3.9 Terms of Reference for Academic Appointment SubCommittee	Updates	Update of recommendations the AASC may make.	New Faculty Pathway introduced set out a variant version of conditions for new faculty appointments, replacement required of original conditions with new Pathways.
08/12/2021	Throughout documents	Correction	Update of titles for Academic Director, Assistant Academic Director, Academic Dean, Head of Teaching Delivery and Content Production	Updates to titles following internal governance updates
04/01/2021	$\begin{array}{l} A.1.3.5 A.1.3.9 ; A.1.6.8 ; \\ A.2.1 ; A.2.2 ; A.2.3 ; A.2.4 ; \\ A.2.6 ; A.2.7 ; B.1.1 ; B.3.4 ; \\ B.3.5 ; B.4.1 ; B.4.2 ; B.4.3 ; \\ B.4.4 ; B.5.1 ; B.5.3 ; B.5.4 ; \\ B.5.5 ; B.5.6 ; B.5.7 ; B.5.9 ; \\ B.6.2 ; C.1.1.3 ; C.2.1 ; \\ C.2.1.5 ; C.2.1.7 ; C.2.1.8 ; \\ C.2.2 ; C.2.2.3 \end{array}$	Correction	Update of title for Validation & Accreditation Manager to Assistant Registrar	Updates to titles following internal governance updates
14/01/2022	A.1.6.12	Amendment	Replacement of the Professional School Product Manager with the Content Production and Relationship Manager	Update to functions and roles within the faculty management teams, with the Professional School Product Manager merging with Faculty Managers, and the new role of the Content Production and Relationship Manager arising out of the increased development of educational content for online delivery, and increased partnerships.
01/02/2022	C.2.1.9 Programme Development for Non-Framework Programmes and Professional Programmes	Updates	Replacement of the Professional School Product Manager with the Academic Director of the corresponding subject area	Update to functions and roles within the faculty management teams, with the Professional School Product Manager merging with Faculty Managers, and the ownership of Professional Diplomas moving under Academic Directors.
01/02/2022	A.1.2.2 The Board of Directors	Updates	Update of details of the independent Board member on the Board of Directors.	Appointment of the independent Board member (a late update to reflect a prior appointment)
21/04/2022	B.5.1.2 Assessment Overarching Policy	Amendment	Updated list of Underlying Principles of Assessment	More extensive and comprehensive breakdown of Assessment principles for enhanced learner experience

Date of	Subsection	Classification	Summary	Explanation
change		of change	-	
21/04/2022	B.5.1.7 Assessment & Feedback	Amendment	Updated Assessment Types and removal of 'Feedback' elements	Rationalisation of subsection into a more focussed breakdown of Assessment Types, with the Feedback elements relocated to B.5.11
21/04/2022	B.5.11 Feedback, Marking and Moderation Policy	New Policy	New Policy	Separating out Feedback, Marking and Moderation components from the Overarching Assessments (B.5.1) sections to be clearer and more explicit about these principles and definitions
21/04/2022	B.3.3.8 Potential Al Outcomes	Amendment	Standardisation and simplification of potential outcomes to Academic Impropriety findings for learners.	Greater clarity for learners regarding the potential outcomes of impropriety, removal of needlessly duplicated breakdowns, replaced with a standardised and simplified breakdown.
01/07/2022	A.1.3.9; C.1.1.3	Update	Addition of the Academic Developer to the membership of the Academic Appointment SubCommittee	Change in management structure (merger of Head of Academic Programmes and Head of Quality Enhancement roles) requiring a replacement appointed to the AASC.
08/07/2022	B.3.1.2 The DBS Learner Charter	Update	Update of the Learner Charter	Updates arising from a review of the Learner Charter by the Teaching, Learning and Assessment Committee, with stakeholder feedback, to ensure currency in the current learning environment.
31/08/2022	B.3.3.8 Potential AI Outcomes	Correction	Correction of "access" to "assess".	Correction of update error
31/08/2022	A.1.3.9; C.1.1.3	Correction	Correction of the title of the Academic Developer to Senior Academic Developer	Correction of update error

Enhancements

Enhancement/ Development	Details	Impact
Review of strategy for	A review of DBS's three-year Strategy	Ensuring alignment of approaches to
Learning Teaching	for Learning Teaching and Assessment	Teaching, Learning and Assessment
and Assessment	Enhancement (SLATE) (2020-2022)	in light of changes in delivery and
Enhancement	was completed in 2021/22, and during	student needs over the past number of
(SLATE)	2022 a new LT&A strategy will be	years, including expectations for
	developed for rollout in 2023.	increased flexibility and enhanced use
		of technology, including responding to
		advances in AI technologies, which

		are challenging the integrity of
		assessment.
Proctoring of Online	As noted in the 2021 AQR submission,	Integrity of online exams. Flexibility for
Exams	in January 2021 DBS piloted online	learners completing exams going
	proctoring for Master-level exams. A	forward.
	second pilot was complete for January	Enabling larger sittings online (i.e. no
	intake Masters students in the summer	need for room bookings) will reduce
	exam cycle. The proctoring software	exam session timeframes and give
	was introduced as a 'soft' pilot without	more time for marking and QA if fully
	browser lockdown and other	implemented.
	security/punitive measures enabled, in	
	order to test basic features of the	
	system and build confidence. While	
	overall the pilots were deemed	
	successful, it did put significant strains	
	on the College resources to support	
	this. The project was paused and was	
	re-initiated in 2022 into the academic	
	year 2022/23 with additional	
	resourcing.	
Delegated Authority	DBS convened a Steering Committee	Improved institution.
Preparation	for Delegated Authority in March 2021	
rioparation	comprised of senior members of the	
	team and Board of Directors and	
	Academic Board level. Simultaneously	
	a working group was put together to	
	carry out preparation for this through a	
	series of reviews across the College.	
	The exercise involved internal mapping	
	and audit against the QQI Criteria for	
	Delegated Authority as well as an	
	independent review of professional	
	service areas (i.e. all non-academic	
	departments) in the College, carried	
	out by BDO from June 2021 to January	
	2022.	
	As part of the process a 'mock panel'	
	event was convened in May 2022, with	
	a panel of external experts appointed	
	to undertake a review of the College	
	and provide feedback. A number of key	
	and provide recublick. A number of key	

areas for development have arisen	
from internal review and the BDO	
review exercise, and DBS has a project	
plan to address these areas ahead of a	
full application to QQI.	

2.1 Initiatives within the Institution related to Academic Integrity

DBS is part of QQI's National Academic Integrity Network and took part in the National Academic Integrity week in October 2021, organising a series of internal events for students also:

- Mon 18th launch of our Academic Integrity learning artefact
- Mon 18th 4:30pm Library class for learners on Referencing Tues 19th 2pm Library class for lecturers on Urkund/ Ouriginal
- Tues 19th 5pm 'Beatdown: Why the music industry has become so aggressive in defending its intellectual property and what this means for your academic journey' a presentation by Richard O'Callaghan (hosted on site and live through Zoom)
- Weds 20th 2:30pm Library class for learners 'Using Ouriginal to Enhance Academic Writing' Weds 20th 5pm - RESq session, on looking at 'Evidence for Academic Impropriety, and spotting Suspected Ghost Writing'
- Thurs 21st 4pm Library and Exams team, 'How to prepare for an Online Exam, with a Q&A with the Exams team'.
- Fri 22nd 1pm Panel discussion, 'Creating Online Content Copyright, Continuity, & Context'

DBS is constantly developing resources for students to support and guide them - see for example the recorded podcast on Essay Mills: <u>https://students.dbs.ie/quality-assurance/academic-integrity#GhostWriting</u>

There was limited engagement by learners and faculty with the October 2021 events. The Registrar's and Exams offices are reviewing this to establish strategies to improve future engagement.

Exams remained online for the academic year 2021/2022 due to continuing concerns about COVID-19 restrictions as the College wanted to be able provide assurance to learners at commencement of the academic year that there would be no disruptions in the exams cycle. DBS recognised the need to protect the integrity of online exams and continued with the online proctoring pilot that had commenced previously, proctoring selected high stakes exams. In addition, there was an identified need to update the policy around academic integrity and penalties for Academic Impropriety. At the Academic Board in April 2021 policy updates were approved to include more severe potentially penalties including:

- For online exams, a resit on campus required
- Resit carries an automatic fee of €250
- Subsequent capstone modules (e.g. project or dissertation) to include a Viva
- Al noted on the transcript
- Suspension
- Expulsion

These are in addition to the previous existing penalties of:

- 0% awarded for the assessment and module mark capped at 40%
- At award stage, award capped
- Attend library class

It was additionally made more explicit that, while previously more severe penalties may be applied for repeat offences, these could also be applied in the case of particularly egregious first offences.

3.0 QA Improvement and Enhancement Plans for Upcoming Reporting Period

3.1QA and QE supporting the Achievement of Strategic Objectives

No. ⁴	Relevant objectives	Planned actions and indicators
	Note: Include reference to the relevant section of the preceding AQR, where applicable	Note: Include details of unit responsible, and how planned action will address the relevant strategic priority and/or reengagement advice/CINNTE recommendation. If the institution is preparing for cyclical review, include how the planned actions will address the relevant review objective(s).
2023-1	Successfully Complete QQI Focused Review	Submission of Provider Statement, completion of panel event (March 2023) with associated outcomes and responses.
2023-2	Implement changes to structure of Registrar's Office	Appointment of Assistant Registrar for Audit and Compliance. Implementation of audit function in Registrar's Office. Updates of reporting of Admissions to Registrar's Office. Implementation of increased separation of duties and independent oversight.
2023-3	Implement changes to Academic Management	Appointment of all roles.
2023-4	Implement changes to Academic Board sub-committees	Approval of finalised Terms of Reference at February/March 2023 Academic Board. Commencement of meetings under new structure.
2023-5	Update and publish SLATE	Approval and publication by June 2023.
2023-6	Update and publish Research Strategy	Approval and publication by June 2023.
2023-7	Complete review of ethical approval process for student research and implement changes	Updated process implemented from September 2023.
2023-8	Implement changes to internal examination boards	Implementation of Internal Module Boards, commencing with the winter exams January 2023.
2023-9	Review of end-to-end exams process in light of new SIS	Following full implementation of SIS for exams functions, SoPs reviewed and in place for all aspects of the process.
2023-10	Develop and implement an annual institutional audit plan	Plan developed and approved by Academic Board and Senior Leadership Team by June 2023.
2023-11	Complete readiness for Institutional Review	Review of all areas as required and completion of evidence and documentation.
2023-12	Complete readiness for Delegated Authority	Review of all areas as required and completion of evidence and documentation.

⁴ Number convention here is a numbered sequence for the Strategic Objective for each year.

No. ⁴	Relevant objectives	Planned actions and indicators
2023-13	Re-alignment of DBS Library as the Academic Information and Resource Centre	Updated strategy and re-branding.
2023-14	Review of assessment practices	Benchmarking and review of standards.
2023-15	Extend Zoom Rooms to facilitate hybrid delivery from one third to two thirds of all learning spaces.	Two-thirds of all teaching space in the College to hold full technology requirements to support hybrid delivery.
2023-16	Extend internal faculty moderation to cover teaching content and delivery.	Moderation plan in place and commencement of implementation.

Unit to be reviewed	Date of planned review	Date of last review
BA (Hons) in Audio Production & Music Project Management (PG23892)	2022/2023	Validated September 2018, Last intake August 2023
Higher Cert in Arts in Sound Engineering & Music Production (PG23890)/ Cert in Arts in Sound Engineering & Music Production (PG23891)	2022/2023	Validated September 2018, Last intake August 2023
Bachelor of Arts in Legal Studies PG24182	2022/2023 (for closure)	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2023
Institutional Review	2022/2023	2010

3.2 Reviews planned for Upcoming Reporting Periods

3.2.1 Reviews planned for Next Reporting Period

Unit to be reviewed	Date of planned review	Date of last review
Certificate in Data Analytics (PG24444)	2022/2023	Validated February 2021 Last intake December 2023
Certificate in Marketing Essentials (PG24435)	2022/2023	Validated February 2021, Last intake December 2023
Certificate in Regulatory Technology (PG23960)	2022/2023	Validated December 2019, Last intake December 2023
Master of Arts in Addiction Studies (PG24066)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Arts in Addiction Studies (PG24067)	2023/2024	Validated April 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Arts in Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy PG24065	2023/2024	Validated April 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Science in Business Analytics PG24049/ Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Business Analytics PG24050	2023/2024	Validated April 2019, Last intake August 2024
Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Financial Services (PG24204)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Arts in Psychotherapy (PG24189)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Counselling and Psychotherapy (PG24188)/ Higher Certificate in Arts in Applied Social Studies (PG24190)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Bachelor of Laws (Honours) (PG24186)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Higher Diploma in Arts in Counselling and Psychotherapy (PG24185)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Science in International Accounting and Finance (PG24183)/	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024

Unit to be reviewed	Date of planned review	Date of last review
Postgraduate Diploma in Science in International Accounting and Finance (PG24184)		
Higher Diploma in Arts in Business (PG24181)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Certificate in Business & English Language (PG24180)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Accounting and Finance (PG24166)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Science in Management Practice (PG24225)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Management Practice (PG24226)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Science in Information and Library Management (PG24214)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Information and Library Management (PG24215)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Science in Marketing (PG24206)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Marketing (PG24207)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Higher Diploma in Arts in Psychology (PG24169)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Psychology (PG24168)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Business (PG24239)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Business Administration (PG24237)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Business (PG24238)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Master of Science in Information Systems with Computing (PG24222)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Information Systems with Computing (PG24223)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Marketing (PG24208)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Certificate in Digital Marketing (PG24205)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Higher Diploma in Science in Computing (PG24224)/ Certificate in Information Technology (PG24230)	2023/2024	Validated September 2019, Last intake August 2024
Certificate in Social Care Skills (PG24528)	2023/2024	Validated May 2021 Last intake August 2024
Certificate in Data Visualisation (PG24527)	2023/2024	Validated August 2021 Last intake August 2024

Unit to be reviewed	Date of planned review	Date of last review
Certificate in Business and Digital Skills (PG24522)	2023/2024	Validated August 2021 Last intake August 2024

3.2.2 Reviews planned beyond Next Reporting Period

Unit to be reviewed	Date of planned review	Date of last review
Higher Diploma in Business in Project Management (PG24321)	2024/25	Validated December 2020 Last intake August 2025
Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Film and Creative Media (PG24397)	2024/25	Validated September 2020 Last intake August 2025
Bachelor of Arts in Film and Creative Media (PG24396)	2024/25	Validated September 2020 Last intake August 2025
Bachelor of Business (PG24398)	2024/25	Validated September 2020 Last intake September 2025
Higher Certificate in Business (PG24399)	2024/25	Validated September 2020 Last intake September 2025
Bachelor of Arts (Honours) in Social Science (PG24400)	2024/25	Validated September 2020 Last intake September 2025
Master of Science in Financial Analytics (PG24328)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Financial Analytics (PG24329)	2024/25	Validated October 2020 Last intake September 2025
Higher Diploma in Science in Digital Marketing (PG24323)	2024/25	Validated September 2020 Last intake September 2025
Higher Diploma in Science in Aviation Finance (PG24322)	2024/25	Validated October 2020 Last intake September 2025
Certificate in Marketing Technology (PG24320)	2024/25	Validated October 2020 Last intake September 2025
Bachelor of Science (Honours) in Computing (PG24463)/ Bachelor of Science in Computing (PG24464)	2024/25	Validated December 2020 Last intake December 2025
Higher Diploma in Science in Data Analytics (PG24461)/ Certificate in the Fundamentals of Data Analytics (PG24462)	2024/25	Validated December 2020 Last intake December 2025
Master of Science in Artificial Intelligence (PG24324)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Artificial Intelligence (PG24325)	2024/25	Validated October 2020 Last intake December 2025
Master of Science in Cybersecurity (PG24326)/ Postgraduate Diploma in Science in Cybersecurity (PG24327)	2024/25	Validated October 2020 Last intake December 2025

4.0 Additional Themes and Case Studies

CASE STUDY 1: Standard Operating Procedures

In October 2021, DBS engaged an external auditor to undertake a professional services review of non-academic functions. The purpose of this review was to identify areas of weakness in the College's business processes and supporting documentation, and to recommend changes that would both improve operational performance, and provide robust evidence of good practice to underpin an eventual application for Delegated Authority.

One of the main findings of the report arising from the review process was the lack of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) within the College. In instances where the documentation was available, it was found to be in a wide range of formats, locations and tones, with no standardisation or controls in place.

In March 2022, the College initiated a project to address this. This project had four main phases, to be concluded in September 2022:

- 1. Creating an approved, standardised template document for all SOPs, with effective document controls embedded
- 2. Providing guidance and training to all staff on how to write, review and maintain SOPs
- 3. Documenting all required SOPs through engagement with senior managers and other key stakeholders
- 4. Creating a centralised repository for published SOPs, accessible to all staff

The project faced a number of challenges from the outset. The availability of key staff to identify and then create the required SOPs was limited due to prior commitments and conflicts with critical assessment and awarding periods. This necessitated an extension of the project timeframe to March 2023 in order to ensure sufficient time could be dedicated to their creation.

Though a standardised SOP template and guidance was created, in order to ensure that SOPs were created as required to a consistent standard, the project manager was required to exercise close control of the drafting process, reviewing all drafts to ensure consistency of content, style and tone. Additional training and guidance on SOP writing was provided.

A significant and unexpected challenge was the unforeseen growth of the project. In the third phase (engagement and document creation), approximately 100 SOPs were identified by stakeholders. During the drafting and review of the documents, SOPs were revised, split out from one into multiple documents, and a large number of new SOPs were identified to be created as a result of interrogating existing drafts. In a six month period the number of SOPs grew from 100 to 315, which further supported the extension of the project.

As the project is nearing conclusion, with over 70% of SOPs published, its benefits have become apparent. The intended benefits, which have been realised, are that standardised SOPs:

- Allow many business problems to be identified, understood, and resolved based on clear, accurate written procedures as it is easier to see where gaps exist.
- Allow process improvements to be easily made, with benefits quantified and captured
- Demonstrate a consistency of procedure to be carried out
- Make it easier to train new staff
- Improve visibility of and accountability for each step
- Provide clear evidence of good practice and operational efficiency

These operational benefits result in improved student record management and query resolution, reducing operational difficulties and thereby improving overall learner experience through a number of institute-learner interfaces. Improvements in processing and staff training has been found to reduce errors arising in quality assurance processes such as grade finalisation and communication plans.

The project also had a number of unintended benefits or outcomes. The principal outcomes in this respect were:

- Increased cross-functional engagement, due to better understanding of where processes and procedures impacted on or were carried over between teams
- Explicit recognition from stakeholders of the importance of the project, and appetite for further improvement. This stemmed not only from a strategic aim of supporting Delegated Authority, but from the overall business improvements that were evident across many functions due to having agreed and consistent documentation.

It will be important for the College that, having carried out this work, existing SOPs are maintained and kept up-to-date, and new SOPs are initiated for any new processes or areas of development. All stakeholders must ensure continued responsibility for SOPs in their functional areas.

[End of Dublin Business School AQR 2023]